arXiv:1610.06379v1 [math.AP] 20 Oct 2016

Weyl calculus in Wiener spaces and in QED

L. Amour[1], R. Lascar[2] and J. Nourrigat[1]

[1]Université of Reims [2]Université Paris 7, Denis Diderot

Abstract

The concern of this article is a semiclassical Weyl calculus on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H. If (i, H, B) is a Wiener triplet associated to H, the quantum state space will be the space of L^2 functions on B with respect to a Gaussian measure with $h/2$ variance, where h is the semiclassical parameter. We prove the boundedness of our pseudodifferential operators (PDO) in the spirit of Calderón-Vaillancourt with an explicit bound, a Beals type characterization, and metaplectic covariance. An application to a model of quantum electrodynamics (QED) is added in the last section (section 7), for fixed spin 1/2 particles interacting with the quantized electromagnetic field (photons). We prove that some observable time evolutions, the spin evolutions, the magnetic and electric evolutions when subtracting their free evolutions, are PDO in our class.

Keywords: Pseudodifferential operators, semiclassical analysis, infinite dimensional analysis, Calderón-Vaillancourt bounds, Beals characterization, covariance, metaplectic group, spins interaction, quantum electrodynamics, photon number, Bogoliubov transforms.

Contents

1 Introduction. **2**

2 The Weyl calculus. **6**
2.1 Wigner function. . . . 6
2.2 Stochastic extensions. . . . 7
2.3 Weyl quadratic form. . . . 8
2.4 Wick symbol. . . . 9

3 Extensions and norm estimates. **10**
3.1 The finite dimension case. . . . 10
3.2 The infinite dimension case. . . . 11

4 Reduced metaplectic group covariance. **17**
4.1 Introduction. . . . 17
4.2 Beals property covariance. . . . 17
4.3 Weyl calculus covariance. . . . 18

5 Beals characterization. **24**
5.1 Wick bisymbol. . . . 24
5.2 The finite dimensional situation. . . . 25
5.3 The infinite dimensional case. . . . 29

6 Operators composition. **30**

7 Applications in field theory. 31
7.1 Hilbert spaces. 31
7.2 Operators. 31
7.3 Quadratic form on H^2. 32
7.4 Statement of the results. 33
7.5 Proofs. 35

1 Introduction.

Pseudodifferential operators theory, starting from 1965, has been adapted to Weyl quantization by Hörmander [17] and Unterberger [28]. It is one of the main tools in analysis of PDE and spectral theory. See for instance Hörmander [17], Lerner [22], Taylor [27] and Zworski [29].

In the works [1], [2] and [3], an adaptation to infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces is proposed, with applications in quantum electrodynamics (QED) in [3].

The goal of this article is to propose an adaptation of this infinite dimensional Weyl calculus to a new class of symbols. The phase space is H^2, where H is a separable Hilbert space. The new class of symbols used here, denoted by $S(H^2, Q)$, is defined in [18] using a given positive quadratic form Q on H^2, in spirit of Hörmander [17] or Unterberger [28] works. In this way we obtain improvements with respect to [1] and [2], about calculus covariance and about applications to QED.

First we prove estimates of the L^2 norm of bounded operators associated with functions F in $S(H^2, Q)$ in terms of a Fredhlom determinant. When the dimension is finite it makes more precise a classical result of Calderón Vaillancourt [11] in the framework of Hörmander [17] Weyl calculus. Our bounds are dimension free and depend only in an explicit way of the quadratic form Q. Moreover a Beals type characterization of PDO (see [5], [6], [7], [8]) is proved with as a consequence result about operators composition.

Another improvement with respect to [1] is that our class of symbols is coordinates free and in Section 4 we discuss the covariance by the reduced symplectic group (see Shale [25] and B. Lascar [21]) at symbols level and by the metaplectic transforms (named also Bogoliubov transforms) at operators one. See B. Lascar [21] for L^2 framework or F. Hiroshima, I. Sasaki, H. Spohn, A. Suzuki [16] and Bruneau Derezinski [10] for the abstract Fock one.

The operators discussed here may be applied in QED theory if one looks at interaction between the quantized filed and N fixed particles with $1/2$ spin in presence of a constant magnetic field. As seen in Section 7, particles spin, components of electric and magnetic fields at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and photon number may be viewed through Weyl calculus. Such results were obtained in [3] with an additional assumption on the infrared cut-off, no longer required here, but symbols used in [3] may have their supports confined in balls, which is not allowed here in view of the analytic properties of our symbols.

We define first the class of symbols.

Definition 1.1. *([18]). Let H be a real separable Hilbert, Q a positive quadratic form on H^2 and $S(H^2, Q)$ the class of functions $f \in C^\infty(H^2)$ such that there exists $C(f) > 0$ satisfying for any integer $m \geq 0$,*

$$|(d^m f)(x)(U_1, \ldots, U_m)| \leq C(f) Q(U_1)^{1/2} \ldots Q(U_m)^{1/2}. \tag{1}$$

If E is a Banach space we denote by $S(H^2, Q, E)$ the analogous space of symbols taking values in E. The

smallest constant satisfying (1) *is denoted by* $\|f\|_Q$. *If* $\dim H$ *is finite, the class* $S_p(H^2, Q)$ *of functions* $f \in C^p(H^2)$ *satisfying* (1) *for all* $m \leq p$ *is also used and the best constant* $C(f)$ *is denoted by* $\|f\|_{p,Q}$.

In what follows, Q will be,

$$Q_A(x) =< Ax, x >, \tag{2}$$

with $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$, $A = A^*$, A nonnegative trace class in H^2. We note that nonnegative quadratic form Q can also be considered in the above definition.

Let us observe that the elements of $S(H^2, Q)$ are analytic functions. Let us recall that a class of operators with analytic symbols is introduced in [9]. The Weyl operators associated with symbols of $S(H^2, Q)$ will be bounded operators in an L^2 space obtained by a below classic result of Gross and Kuo.

Theorem 1.2. *(Gross [15], Kuo [20]). For any real separable Hilbert space there exists a Banach space* B *containing* H, *non unique, with a continuous injection* $i : H \hookrightarrow B$ *with a dense range and satisfying* $B' \hookrightarrow H' = H \hookrightarrow B$, *and for any* $h > 0$, *there exists a probability measure* $\mu_{B,h}$ *on the Borel* $\sigma-$*algebra of* B *satisfying,*

$$\int_B e^{i<a,x>} d\mu_{B,h}(x) = e^{-\frac{h}{2}|a|^2}, \quad a \in B', \tag{3}$$

where $< a, x >$ *is the dual product in* B', B, *and where* $|a|$ *is the* H *norm.*

One may find in standard references the exact properties on the space B; a triplet (i, H, B) is said a Wiener space. The L^2 space of our Weyl operators will be $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$. Since h stands for the semiclassical parameter, the variance is then $h/2$. This space is isomorphic to the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ (see [26], Theorem I.11, [19], Theorem 4.1) through the Segal isomorphism.

As in [1] we start by associating with $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ a quadratic form on a dense subspace of $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, namely the stochastic extensions of polynomial functions on H (see Section 2). This quadratic form is named $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$ and it depends on $h > 0$. Such a process extends to functions which are not in $S(H^2, Q_A)$ such as continuous linear forms on the phase space H^2; in finite dimension d, on weaker conditions one associates with symbols, operators from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but in order not to enter in duality we had rather speak of quadratic forms.

Thereafter in Section 3, we prove that the quadratic form $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$ is related to a bounded operator in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ whose norm is estimated in term of Q_A. We need Definition 1.3.

Definition 1.3. *Let* E *be an Hilbert space,* q *a scalar product on* E, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, $T^* = -T$ *for* q, *being trace class for* q. *Set* $|T|_q$ *the unique operator in* $\mathcal{L}(E)$, *selfadjoint for* q *and positive, satisfying* $|T|_q^2 = -T^2$. *For* $z \in \mathbf{C}$, *we set,*

$$D(E, q, z, T) = \det(I + z|T|_q), \tag{4}$$

where det *is the Fredholm determinant. Finally we denote by* $\|T\|_{E,q}$ *the* $q(X, X)^{1/2}$ *norm of* T.

In this work, the configuration space will be a real separable Hilbert space H. The natural norm in H or in H^2 will be denoted by $|\cdot|$ and the scalar product of a and b by $a \cdot b$. We consider $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$, $A = A^*$, nonnegative trace class. The QED applications lead us to assume that Q_A is only nonnegative. Then we define in H^2 a quadratic form Q_A by (2) and a second scalar product by $q_A(X, Y) = (AX) \cdot Y$. Now we shall define a Hilbert space B_A, with a scalar product and an operator as in Definition 1.3 for the expression of the norm in the first main theorem.

Proposition 1.4. *Let H be an Hilbert space, $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$, selfadjoint, nonnegative and trace class in H^2, and let $q_A(X,Y) = (AX)\cdot Y$ and $Q_A(X) = (AX)\cdot X$. Let B_A be the completed Hilbert space of $H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A$ for $Q_A^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{F} : (x,\xi) \mapsto (-\xi, x)$. Then $\mathcal{F}A$, defined in $H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A$, extends to a trace class operator in B_A, skew symmetric for q_A; this extension is still denoted by $\mathcal{F}A$.*

This proposition will be proved in Section 3.2. The operator $\mathcal{F}A$ is the fundamental matrix. In Hörmander [17], the trace $|\mathcal{F}A|_{q_A}$ is denoted by $2\mathrm{Tr}^+(A)$. Moreover, in the finite dimensional case, the dual form of Q_A with respect to the symplectic form is,

$$Q_A^\sigma(X) = \sup_Y \frac{|((\mathcal{F}X)\cdot Y|^2}{Q_A(Y)} = (A^{-1}\mathcal{F}X)\cdot(\mathcal{F}X),$$

and so the uncertainty principle $Q_A \leq Q_A^\sigma$ of Hörmander reads as $\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A} \leq 1$.

We are now able to state,

Theorem 1.5. *Let H be a real separable Hilbert space, A a symmetric nonnegative trace class operator in H^2. Let B_A be the completion of $H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A$ for $Q_A^{1/2}$. For $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$, let $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$ be the quadratic form of Definition 2.4. Then, there exists a bounded operator in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ denoted by $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$ satisfying for all f and g in the domain of $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$,*

$$Q_h^{weyl}(F)(f,g) =< Op_h^{weyl}(F)f, g > . \tag{5}$$

Moreover, with the notations of Definition 1.3 and Proposition 1.4,

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F)\| \leq \|F\|_{Q_A} D(B_A, q_A, 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A}) \ , \ (\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2}. \tag{6}$$

When the dimension of H is finite, and denoted by d, we need only a finite number of derivatives. In this case, $B = H$ and $L^2(H, \mu_{H,h/2})$ is isomorphic to $L^2(H,\lambda)$, where λ is the Lebesgue measure, and our operators are the usual semiclassical PDO. In this case, the estimate (6) may be replaced by,

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F)\| \leq \|F\|_{4d,Q_A} D\Big(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A \ , \ q_A \ , \ 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A}) \ , \ (\mathcal{F}A)\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{7}$$

We now turn to a Beals type characterization result. Beals type theorem consists in proving the existence of an isomorphism between families of functions (F_h) and families of bounded operators (A_h) via the maps $F_h \mapsto Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)$.

For $V = (a,b) \in H^2$, we denote by L_hV the operator $L_hV = Op_h^{weyl}(F)$ with $F(x,\xi) = -b\cdot x + a\cdot\xi$ (it is proved in (22) below that L_hV has a meaning). For A bounded in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ and $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ in H^2, the iterated commutator $\mathrm{ad}(L_hV_1)\ldots\mathrm{ad}(L_hV_m)A$ is well defined as a quadratic form on the space of stochastic extensions of polynomial functions. When $A = A_h = Op_h^{weyl}(F)$ with $F \in S(H^2, Q)$,

$$\mathrm{ad}(L_hV_1)\ldots\mathrm{ad}(L_hV_m)Op_h^{weyl}(F) = (h/i)^m Op_h^{weyl}(d^mF(\,\cdot\,)(V_1,\ldots V_m))$$

and this commutator is in view of Theorem 1.5 a bounded operator in $L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2})$ satisfying,

$$\|\mathrm{ad}(L_hV_1)\ldots\mathrm{ad}(L_hV_m)Op_h^{weyl}(F)\|$$

$$\leq h^m\|F\|_{Q_A} \ D(B_A, q_A, 81\pi h\max(1,\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A}) \ , \ (\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2} \prod_{j=1}^m Q_A(V_j)$$

and when $\dim \mathrm{H} = d < +\infty$ one may replace in the above right hand side $\|\cdot\|_{Q_A}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{m+4d,Q_A}$. So we introduce,

Definition 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ be the set of operators (A_h) bounded in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ such that there exists $C > 0$ satisfying for all $V_1, \dots, V_m$ in H^2,*

$$\|\mathrm{ad}(L_h V_1) \dots \mathrm{ad}(L_h V_m) A_h\| \leq C\ h^m \prod_{j=1}^{m} Q(V_j)^{1/2}. \tag{8}$$

The best above constant C will be denoted by $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)}$. Moreover, for any integer p, let $\mathcal{L}_p(Q)$ such that (8) holds true for any $m \leq p$ and let $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(Q)}$ be the best constant such that (8) holds for any $m \leq p$.

With this convention,

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_A)} \leq \|F\|_{Q_A}\ D(B_A, q_A, 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2} \tag{9}$$

and when $\dim H = d < +\infty$, for any integer m,

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)\|_{\mathcal{L}_m(Q_A)} \leq \|F\|_{m+4d,Q_A}\ D\Big(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A\ ,\ q_A\ ,\ 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A)\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{10}$$

Beals Theorem idea is to prove a converse property with an estimate of the norm.

Theorem 1.7. *Set a real Hilbert space H. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ be symmetric and nonnegative and set Q_A the quadratic form (2). Let (A_h) be a family in $\mathcal{L}(Q_A)$. Then there exists (F_h) in $S(H^2, Q_A)$ such that $A_h = Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)$ and satisfying,*

$$\|F_h\|_{Q_A} \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_A)}\ D(B_A, q_A, Kh \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2}, \tag{11}$$

for some $K > 0$ universal constant.

When the dimension of H is finite, and denoted by d, the estimation (11) may be replaced by:

$$\|F_h\|_{m,Q_A} \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A)}\ D(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A\ ,\ q_A\ ,\ Kh \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2}, \tag{12}$$

See Section 5.2.

Now we consider the composition of operators.

Theorem 1.8. *Let H a real Hilbert space, $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ symmetric, nonnegative and Q_A the quadratic form (2) and let (F_h), (G_h) two families of symbols in $S(H^2, Q_A)$. Then there exists (K_h) in $S(H^2, 4Q_A)$ satisfying*

$$Op_h^{weyl}(F_h) \circ Op_h^{weyl}(G_h) = Op_h^{weyl}(K_h) \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_h\|_{4Q_A} \leq \|F_h\|_{Q_A}\ \|G_h\|_{Q_A}\ D(B_A, q_A, 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A)) \\ \dots D(B_A, 4q_A, Kh \max(1, 4\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,4q_A})\ ,\ 4(\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where K is a universal constant.

When the dimension of H is finite and denoted by d, the estimation (14) may be replaced by the following, if $m \geq 8d$,

$$\|K_h\|_{m-8d,4Q_A} \leq \|F_h\|_{m,Q_A}\ \|G_h\|_{m,Q_A} D\Big(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A\ ,\ q_A\ ,\ 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A)\Big) \tag{15}$$

$$\dots D\Big(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A\ ,\ 4q_A\ ,\ Kh\max(1,4\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,4q_A})\ ,\ (4\mathcal{F}A)\Big)^{1/2},$$

It is possible, although not done here, to write a full asymptotic expansion (in term of power of h) for the symbol of the composition of two observables.

The interaction between the quantized electromagnetic field and a finite system of fixed spin 1/2 particles will use Weyl operators related to the previous class $S(H^2, Q)$; the configuration space H will be $H = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \mid k \cdot f(k) = 0,\ k \text{ a.e.}\}$. Photons in vacuum are modelized by an Hamiltonian H_{ph} in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{ph}$; the particles system is modelized by a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{sp}$. The full Hamiltonian is a selfadjoint operator $H(h)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{ph} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sp}$ (see Section 7.2).

Let A be an observable, i.e., a selfadjoint operator bounded or not in $H_{ph} \otimes H_{sp}$. One may define

$$A_h(t) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}H(h)} A_h e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}, \quad A_h^{free}(t) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}(H_{ph}\otimes I)} A_h e^{-i\frac{t}{h}(H_{ph}\otimes I)}. \tag{16}$$

The operator $e^{i\frac{t}{h}(H_{ph}\otimes I)}$ is a metaplectic operator related to some symplectic map in H^2; this symplectic map χ_t belongs to the group studied by B. Lascar [21].

The general framework of Section 7 is that the full evolution of the usual observables may be described as a perturbation of the free evolution and the perturbation at time t is defined with an operator with a symbol belonging to $S(H^2, Q_t)$ where Q_t is a nonnegative time dependent quadratic form defined on the phase space H^2 in (106).

For instance, if A_h is one of the $B_j(x)$ $(1 \le j \le 3)$ being the component of the quantized magnetic field at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we prove that (Theorem 7.2),

$$B_j(x,t,h) = B_j^{free}(x,t,h) + hOp_h^{weyl}(B_j^{res}(x,t,\cdot,h)),$$

where $(q,p) \mapsto B_j^{res}(x,t,q,p,h)$ is a map from $S(H^2, 4Q_t)$ taking values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp})$. The same holds true for the electric field and the spin observables. The photon number evolution is defined in Theorem 7.3.

2 The Weyl calculus.

Let us start with the finite dimension case. To any function F, say $F \in S(H^2, Q)$, we associate an operator $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$. We have, for any functions f and g defined on H, for example C^∞ with compact support, using the Gaussian measure instead of the Lebesgue measure in order to prepare the infinite dimension case,

$$< Op_h^{weyl}(F) f, g >= \int_{H^2} F(Z) H_h^{gauss}(f,g)(Z) d\mu_{H^2,h/2}(Z), \tag{17}$$

where $H_h^{gauss}(f,g)$ is the Wigner function which is directly defined below in infinite dimension.

2.1 Wigner function.

We first introduce Weyl translations associated to X in the phase space H^2. In finite dimension, these Weyl translations are defined for example in [12].

For any $a \in B' \subset H$, the function $B \ni x \to a(x)$ belongs to $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ with a norm being $\sqrt{h/2}|a|$ (where $|a|$ is the norm of a in H), so the map which associates to $a \in B'$ this L^2 function has by density an extension from H into $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ denoted by $a \mapsto \ell_a$.

For each $X = (a, b) \in H^2$, the operator $V_h(X)$ (Weyl translation of X) is unitary in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, it is defined by,

$$(V_h(X)f)(u) = e^{\frac{1}{h}\ell_{a+ib}(u) - \frac{1}{2h}|a|^2 - \frac{i}{2h}a\cdot b} f(u-a), \quad f \in L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2}) \tag{18}$$

and it may be viewed as an operator in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ through the Segal isomorphism.

Definition 2.1. *For f and g in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ or in $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$, we define the Wigner function $H_h^{gauss}(f, g)$ on H^2 by,*

$$H_h^{gauss}(f, g)(Z) = e^{\frac{|Z|^2}{h}} < V_h(-2Z)f, \check{g} >, \quad Z \in H^2, \tag{19}$$

where $\check{g}(u) = g(-u)$.

Since $V_h(-2Z)$ is unitary, one has,

$$|H_h^{gauss}(f, g)(Z)| \leq e^{\frac{1}{h}|Z|^2} \|f\|_{L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2})} \ \|g\|_{L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2})}. \tag{20}$$

The Wigner function is also equivalently defined by,

$$H_h^{gauss}(f, g)(z, \zeta) = e^{\frac{|\zeta|^2}{h}} \int_B e^{-2\frac{i}{h}\ell_\zeta(t)} f(z+t)\overline{g(z-t)} d\mu_{B,h/2}(t), \quad Z = (z, \zeta) \in H^2.$$

2.2 Stochastic extensions.

When $\dim H = \infty$, the integral (17) is meaningless since F and $H_h^{gauss}(f, g)$ are defined only on H^2 whereas one has to integrate (for the Gaussian measure) on B^2.

However, for some F, it is possible to construct a convenient extension $\widetilde{F}$ on B^2. This has been achieved by L. Gross in [15] who gave to $\widetilde{F}$ the name of stochastic extension. This construction is recalled in [1] in the context of extension in L^p (whereas it is rather a convergence in measure in [15]). We remind here the main features.

If E is a n dimensional subspace of H, we set $\widetilde{\pi}_E : B \to E$ the map defined by,

$$\widetilde{\pi}_E(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell_{u_j}(x) u_j \quad \text{a.e. in } B, \tag{21}$$

where u_j, $1 \leq j \leq n$, is an orthonormal basis of E. The map $\widetilde{\pi}_E$ is independent of the choice of the basis.

Definition 2.2. *Let (i, H, B) be a Wiener space, set $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $h > 0$. We say that a Borel function F on H^2 has a stochastic extension $\widetilde{F}$ in $L^p(B, \mu_{B,h})$ if for any increasing sequence (E_n) of finite dimension subspaces of H^2 with dense union, the functions $F \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}$ are in $L^p(B, \mu_{B,h})$ and if $F \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}$ converges to $\widetilde{F}$ in $L^p(B, \mu_{B,h})$.*

The fact that a function F on an Hilbert space H has or not a stochastic extension in the above sense is independent of the chosen space B. In this sense, the choice of B is irrelevant. Indeed, let (E_n) be an increasing sequence of subspaces of H and set for $m < n$, $\pi_{mn} : E_n \to E_m$ the orthogonal projection. When f is a Borel function we set,

$$I_{mn}(f) = \int_{E_n} |f(x) - f(\pi_{mn}(x)|^p d\mu_{E_n,h}(x),$$

and our definition concerning stochastic extensions means that $I_{mn}(f) \to 0$ when $m = \inf(m,n) \to \infty$. For $p = 1$, if (i_1, H, B_1) and (i_2, H, B_2) are Wiener spaces and if f has a stochastic extension in L^1 for the variance h then the two stochastic extensions of f have in B_1 and B_2 the same integrals.

Many classes of functions have stochastic extensions, see examples in [1]. We shall see that the symbol F and the Wigner function has stochastic extensions for different reasons. We begin with the symbol F, being in $S(H^2, Q_A)$.

Theorem 2.3. *(See [18], Proposition 3.10) Set $h > 0$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ be a nonnegative selfadjoint and trace class operator in H^2. Then, any $f \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ has a stochastic extension $\widetilde{f}$ in $L^p(B, \mu_{B,h})$, which is bounded almost everywhere by $||f||_Q$.*

In addition, if (u_j) is an orthonormal basis of H constituted with eigenvectors of A and if the corresponding eigenvalues are the λ_j, if E is a finite dimension subspace of H, one has,

$$||f \circ \tilde{\pi}_E - \widetilde{f}(x)||_{L^p(B,\mu_{B,h})} \leq C(p) h^{1/2} \|f\|_{Q_A} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \lambda_j |\pi_E(u_j) - u_j|^{\alpha(p)} \right)^{1/\alpha(p)},$$

where

$$C(p) = K(p) \left(\sum_0^\infty \lambda_j \right)^{(1/2)-(1/p)}, \ \alpha(p) = p, \text{ for } p \geq 2,$$

$$C(p) = 1, \ \alpha(p) = 2, \text{ for } p \leq 2$$

and where $K(p) = 2^{1/2} \pi^{-1/2p} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \right)^{1/p}$.

Note that if $f, g \in L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ then their Wigner function has in general no stochastic extension in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$.

However, any polynomial function has a stochastic extension in $L^p(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$. This is proved in Proposition 3.17 in [18]. This point motivates the following.

2.3 Weyl quadratic form.

Definition 2.4. *Let F be a Borel function on H^2 with a stochastic extension $\widetilde{F}$ belonging to $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$. For f and g polynomial functions, we set,*

$$Q_h^{weyl}(F)(f,g) = \int_{B^2} \widetilde{F}(Z) \widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(f,g)(Z) d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(Z), \tag{22}$$

where $\widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(f,g)$ is the stochastic extension of the Wigner function $H_h^{gauss}(f,g)$ in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$.

We remind that the Wigner function of two polynomial functions is also a polynomial function. Consequently, this Wigner function has also a stochastic extension $\widetilde{F}$ in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$. More generally, we may define $Q_h^{weyl}(F)(f,g)$ as soon as (f,g) is such that $H_h^{gauss}(f,g)$ has a stochastic extension in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$.

In view of Theorem 2.3, if F belongs to $S(H^2, Q_A)$ then F has a stochastic extension $\widetilde{F}$ in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h})$ which is bounded. Consequently, if f and g are two polynomials functions then,

$$|Q_h^{weyl}(F)(f,g)| \leq \|F\|_\infty C(f,g), \quad C(f,g) = \|\widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(f,g)\|_{L^1(B^2,\mu_{B^2,h/2})}. \tag{23}$$

If F is a continuous linear form on H^2 then F also has a stochastic extension in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h})$ and $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$ is well defined.

2.4 Wick symbol.

For any $X = (a, b) \in H^2$, the coherent state $\Psi_{(a,b),h}$ is defined as,

$$\Psi_{X,h}(u) = e^{\frac{1}{h}\ell_{(a+ib)}(u) - \frac{1}{2h}|a|^2 - \frac{i}{2h}a\cdot b}, \quad X = (a, b) \in H^2, \quad \text{a.e. } u \in B. \tag{24}$$

Proposition 2.5. *For X and Y in H^2, one has*

$$H_h^{gauss}(\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{X,h})(Z) = e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{h} + \frac{2}{h}X\cdot Z}. \tag{25}$$

Proof.
In view of $\Psi_{X,h} = V_h(X)\Psi_{0,h}$ and $\check{\Psi}_{X,h} = \Psi_{-X,h}$,

$$V_h(X)V_h(Y) = e^{\frac{i}{2h}\sigma(X,Y)}V_h(X + Y).$$

Thus, for any X and Z in H^2, one sees,

$$\Psi_{X+Z,h} = e^{\frac{i}{2h}\sigma(X,Z)}V_h(Z)\Psi_{X,h}. \tag{26}$$

Besides,

$$< \Psi_{Xh}, \Psi_{Yh} >= e^{-\frac{1}{4h}(|X-Y|^2) + \frac{i}{2h}\sigma(X,Y)}. \tag{27}$$

One then deduces (25).

□

As a consequence, if X and Y are in H^2 then the Wigner function $H_h^{gauss}(\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{X,h})$ admits a stochastic extension

$$\widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{X,h})(Z) = e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{h} + \frac{2}{h}\ell_X(Z)}. \tag{28}$$

For any F in $S(H^2, Q_A)$ and each X, Y in H^2, $Q_h^{weyl}(F)(\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{X,h})$ is defined and so is the Wick symbol of $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$ defined by,

$$\sigma_h^{wick}(Q_h^{weyl}(F))(X) = Q_h^{weyl}(F)(\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{X,h}), \quad X \in H^2. \tag{29}$$

The Wick symbol is related to F via the heat operator, as in finite dimension. Set $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ and $X \in H^2$. Also set, $\tau_X(F) : Y \mapsto F(X + Y)$. Then $\tau_X(F) \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ and let $\widetilde{\tau}_X F$ be its stochastic extension in $L^1(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$. The heat operator is defined by,

$$(H_{h/2}F)(X) = \int_{B^2} \widetilde{\tau}_X F(Y) d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(Y). \tag{30}$$

For $E \subset H^2$ with $\dim \mathrm{E} < +\infty$, one may define,

$$(H_{E,h/2}F)(X) = \int_E F(X + Y) d\mu_{E,h/2}(Y). \tag{31}$$

If $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ then these two functions are in $S(H^2, Q_A)$. It is proved in [1] (Theorem 7.1) that, if $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$,

$$\sigma_h^{wick}(Q_h^{weyl}(F))(X) = (H_{h/2}F)(X). \tag{32}$$

We note that this point is also a consequence of Proposition 2.5 and of (28). Indeed, using translation change of variables for Gaussian measures (see for example Proposition 4.2 in [1]), one has

$$Q_h^{weyl}(F)(\Psi_{Xh},\Psi_{Xh})=\int_{B^2}\widetilde{F}(Z)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{h}+\frac{2}{h}\ell_X(Z)}d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(Z)=\int_{B^2}\widetilde{\tau}_XF(Y)d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(Y)=(H_{h/2}F)(X).$$

3 Extensions and norm estimates.

3.1 The finite dimension case.

We first recall a classical result. A proof is available in Hörmander [17] (Theorem 21.5.3).

Theorem 3.1. *Set E a real Hilbert space of finite dimension $2d$, with a symplectic $2-$form σ. Let $\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{L}(E)$ be such that $\sigma(X,Y)=<X,\mathcal{F}Y>$, for all X and Y in E. Let $A\in\mathcal{L}(E)$ being selfadjoint and nonnegative. Then,*

$$(A\mathcal{F}AX)\cdot Y=-(AX)\cdot(\mathcal{F}AY),\quad X,Y\in E. \tag{33}$$

Moreover, there exists a symplectic basis $(U_j),(V_j)$ of E $(j=1,\dots,d)$ and there exists an integer $p\leq d$ satisfying,

i) We have $(AX)\cdot Y=0$, if $X\neq Y$, for X and Y belonging to the basis.

ii) We have $AV_j=0$, for $j\leq p$.

iii) We have for $j>p$,

$$<AU_j,U_j>=<AV_j,V_j>=\lambda_j, \tag{34}$$

where the $(\lambda_j)^2$ are the non vanishing eigenvalues of $-(\mathcal{F}A)^2$, which are nonnegative. If $j\leq p$ then one has $(\mathcal{F}A)^2U_j=(\mathcal{F}A)^2V_j=0$. If $j>p$ then $(\mathcal{F}A)^2U_j=-(\lambda_j)^2U_j$ and $(\mathcal{F}A)^2V_j=-(\lambda_j)^2V_j$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be a real Hilbert space of finite dimension d, and $A\in\mathcal{L}(H^2)$, $A^*=A$, A trace class and nonnegative. Let us set $q_A(X,Y)=(AX)\cdot Y$ and $Q_A(X)=q_A(X,X)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be defined on H^2 by $\mathcal{F}(x,\xi)=(-\xi,x)$. Then, for any $F\in S_{4d}(H^2,Q_A)$,*

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F)\|\leq\|F\|_{4d,Q_A}D\Big(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A\ ,\ q_A\ ,\ 81\pi h\max(1,\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A)\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{35}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2.
Let H be a real Hilbert space with finite dimension d and let $E=H^2$, (e_j) an orthonormal basis of H. We set $u_j=(e_j,0)$ and $v_k=(0,e_k)$. Let (U_j,V_k) and p be given by Theorem 3.1. There exist a symplectic map χ such that $\chi(u_j)=U_j$ and $\chi(v_k)=V_k$. In view of Theorem 18.5.9 in [17], there is a metaplectic transform U_χ, unitary in $L^2(E,\mu_{E,h/2})$ satisfying,

$$U_\chi^\star Op_h^{weyl}(F)U_\chi=Op_h^{weyl}(F\circ\chi). \tag{36}$$

For any $F\in S_{4d}(H^2,Q_A)$, one has

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F)\|=\|U_\chi^\star Op_h^{weyl}(F)U_\chi\|=\|Op_h^{weyl}(F\circ\chi)\|. \tag{37}$$

The symbol $F\circ\chi$ belongs to $S_{4d}(H^2,Q_A\circ\chi)$. Let (x,ξ), $x=(x',x'')$, $\xi=(\xi',\xi'')$, $x',\xi'\in\mathbb{R}^p$, $x'',\xi''\in\mathbb{R}^{d-p}$ be the coordinates chosen according to Theorem 3.1. Writing $G=F\circ\chi$, G is a function

of (x',ξ',x'',ξ''). By definition, the fact that $F\circ\chi$ belongs to $S_{4d}(H^2,Q_A\circ\chi)$ may be written as, with obvious notations, for all multi-indices $(\alpha',\alpha'',\beta',\beta'')$ with a length $\leq 4d$,

$$|\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'}\partial_{x''}^{\alpha''}\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{\xi''}^{\beta''}G(x',\xi',x'',\xi'')| \leq \|F\circ\chi\|_{4d,Q_A\circ\chi}\prod_j Q_A(U_j')^{(1/2)\alpha_j'}Q_A(U_j'')^{(1/2)\alpha_j''}Q_A(V_j')^{(1/2)\beta_j'}Q_A(V_j'')^{(1/2)\beta_j''}.$$

Since $AV_j=0$ for $j\leq p$, the above right hand side is vanishing if one of the $\beta_j'\neq 0$. Consequently, G is independent of ξ' and may be denoted by $G(x',x'',\xi'')$. Since the U_j and V_j, $j>p$ satisfy (34), then this function satisfies, when $|\alpha''|+|\beta''|\leq 4d$,

$$|\partial_{x''}^{\alpha''}\partial_{\xi''}^{\beta''}G(x',x'',\xi'')| \leq \|F\|_{4d,Q_A}\prod_j \lambda_j^{(1/2)(\alpha_j''+\beta_j'')},$$

where the $(\lambda_j)^2$ are the non vanishing eigenvalues of $-(\mathcal{F}A)^2$. In particular this estimate is true for any (α'',β'') with $\alpha_j''\leq 2$ and $\beta_j''\leq 2$ for all j. For any x', according to Theorem 1.4 in [1] applied to the map $(x'',\xi'')\mapsto G(x',x'',\xi'')$ with the sequence $\varepsilon_j=\sqrt{\lambda_j}$, one has

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F\circ\chi)\| \leq \|F\|_{4d,Q_A}\prod_{j=p+1}^{d}(1+81\pi hS\lambda_j),$$

with $S=\sup_j\max(1,\lambda_j)$. One then deduces that,

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F)\| \leq \|F\|_{4d,Q_A}\prod_{j=p+1}^{d}(1+81\pi hS\lambda_j).$$

Since the λ_j are the non vanishing eigenvalues of the operator $|\mathcal{F}A|_{q_A}$ (see Definition 1.3) each computed twice then,

$$D\Big(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A\ ,\ q_A\ ,\ 81\pi h\max(1,\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A)\Big)^{1/2} = \prod_{j=p+1}^{d}(1+81\pi hS\lambda_j).$$

□

3.2 The infinite dimension case.

The above Proposition 1.4 is a consequence of the next result. When B is an Hilbert space, let us denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(B)}$) the Hilbert Schmidt norm (resp. the trace class norm) of bounded operators in B.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a selfadjoint nonnegative trace class operator in H^2 and define B_A as the completed space of $H^2/\mathrm{ker}A$ with respect to the $Q_A^{1/2}$. Then*

i) For any $T\in\mathcal{L}(H^2)$, $TA^{1/2}$ is defined in $H^2/\mathrm{ker}A$ and has an Hilbert Schmidt extension in B_A satisfying,

$$\|TA^{1/2}\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B_A)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{H^2}(ATT^\star). \tag{38}$$

ii) Let E be a finite dimension subspace of H. Define the orthogonal projection $P_E: H^2/\mathrm{ker}A\to E^2/\mathrm{ker}A$ (with respect to q_A). Also define the orthogonal projection $\pi_E: H^2\to E^2$ (with respect to the usual scalar product of H^2). Then, the operator $\pi_E\mathcal{F}AP_E$ maps $H^2/\mathrm{ker}A$ into itself and it is a skew symmetric operator (for the scalar product q_A).

iii) The two operators $\mathcal{F}A$ and $\pi_E\mathcal{F}AP_E$ have trace class skew symmetric extensions in B_A, for the scalar product q_A.

iv) Let (E_n) be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of H with a dense union in H. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\pi_{E_n}AP_{E_n} - \mathcal{F}A\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(B_A,q_A)} = 0. \tag{39}$$

($\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(B_A,q_A)}$ being the trace class operators in B_A norm).

Proof.
i) Let (u_j) an Hilbert basis of $H^2/\ker A$ being eigenvectors of A and λ_j the corresponding eigenvalues. Then $(v_j) = (u_j/\sqrt{\lambda_j})$ is an Hilbert basis of B_A. For any operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$, the sequence $\|TA^{1/2}v_j\|^2_{B_A} =< T^\star ATu_j, u_j >$ is summable since A is of trace class. Thus, $TA^{1/2}$ well defined on $H^2/\ker A$ extends to an Hilbert Schmidt operator in B_A and

$$\|TA^{1/2}\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B_A)} = \sum_j \|TA^{1/2}v_j\|^2_{B_A} = \sum_j \|Tu_j\|^2_{B_A} = \sum_j \|A^{1/2}Tu_j\|^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(T^\star AT) = \mathrm{Tr}_{H^2}(ATT^\star).$$

ii) For any X and Y in H^2,

$$(A\pi_E\mathcal{F}AP_EX)\cdot Y = -(AP_EX)\cdot(\mathcal{F}\pi_EAY) = -(AX)\cdot(P_E\mathcal{F}\pi_EAY) = -(AX)\cdot(\mathcal{F}\pi_EAY)$$
$$= (P_E\pi_E\mathcal{F}AX)\cdot(AY) = (\pi_E\mathcal{F}AX)\cdot(AP_EY) = -(AX)\cdot(\pi_E\mathcal{F}AP_EY).$$

(One uses above that P_E is selfadjoint for q_A, A and π_E are selfadjoint for $\cdot$, $\mathcal{F}$ skew symmetric for $\cdot$, $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with P_E and π_E, and $P_E\pi_E = \pi_E$).

iii) The fact that $\mathcal{F}A$ is skew symmetric for q_A is standard. Applying i) with $T = \mathcal{F}$ and $T = I$ one proves that $\mathcal{F}A^{1/2}$ and $A^{1/2}$ have Hilbert Schmidt extensions in B_A. The same argument shows that $\pi_E\mathcal{F}A$ has a trace class extension in B_A. Besides, P_E extends to a bounded operator in B_A with unit norm. Thus iii) is proved.

iv) One applies i) with $T = \mathcal{F}\pi_{E_n} - \mathcal{F}$. According to Theorem 6.3 of Gohberg-Krein [14] applied in H^2,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}_{H^2}\left(A[\mathcal{F}\pi_{E_n} - \mathcal{F}][\mathcal{F}\pi_{E_n} - \mathcal{F}]^\star\right) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\pi_{E_n}A^{1/2} - \mathcal{F}A^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(B_A)} = 0. \tag{40}$$

Applying again Theorem 6.3 of [14] but with B_A, one also has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|A^{1/2}P_{E_n} - A^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(B_A)} = 0. \tag{41}$$

Combining (40) and (41), one indeed obtains (39).

□

The proof of Theorem 1.5 uses the four Propositions 3.4-3.7 below.

Proposition 3.4. *(Ramer) For any finite dimensional $E \subset B' \subset H$, let $E^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of E in H and set,*

$$\widetilde{E}^\perp = \{x \in B,\ \forall\, u \in E,\ u(x) = 0\}. \tag{42}$$

Then $(i, E^\perp, \widetilde{E}^\perp)$ is a Wiener space and the corresponding Gaussian measure $\mu_{\widetilde{E}^\perp,t}$ with variance $t > 0$ may be identified to the tensor product measure $\mu_{E,t} \otimes \mu_{\widetilde{E}^\perp,t}$.

For each function $F \in S(H^2, Q)$ and for any finite dimensional $E \subset B'^2$, we set (with the notations of Proposition 3.4),

$$(H_{E^\perp,t}F)(X) = \int_{\widetilde{E}^\perp} \widetilde{F}(X+Y) d\mu_{\widetilde{E}^\perp,t}(Y), \quad X \in H^2, \tag{43}$$

where $\widetilde{F}$ is a stochastic extension of F (its existence is given by Theorem 2.3). The function $H_{E^\perp,t}F$ belongs to $S(H^2, Q)$.

The following Proposition recalls known results in finite dimension but it takes a different form when using Gaussian measures. Replacing F by $H_{h/2}F$ in the formula defining the Weyl calculus allows to take the anti-Wick operator with symbol F. Let us recall the definition of the anti-Wick operator. If H is a finite dimension space, if f is a function in $L^2(H, \mu_{H,h/2})$, we denote here by $H^2 \ni X \mapsto T_{X,h}f$ the Segal Bargmann transform of f being defined on H^2 by,

$$(T_{X,h}f) = e^{|X|^2/4h} \int_H f(t)\overline{\Psi_{X,h}(t)} d\mu_{H,h/2}(t),$$

where $\Psi_{X,h}$ denotes the coherent state defined in Section 2. Then, one associates an anti-Wick operator with any bounded function Φ on H^2 by,

$$< Op_h^{AW}(\Phi)f, g >= \int_{H^2} \Phi(X) T_{X,h} f \overline{T_{X,h}g} d\mu_{H^2,h}(X).$$

It is known that,

$$\int_{H^2} |T_{X,h}f|^2 d\mu_{H^2,h}(X) = ||f||^2.$$

It is also known that the Weyl symbol of $Op_h^{AW}(\Phi)$ is $H_{h/2}\Phi$. If S is a finite dimensional subspace of H, replacing F by $H_{S,h/2}F$, amounts to define an operator, called hybrid operator in [1], being similar to an anti-Wick operator in the variables S. Let H be a finite dimensional space ($d = \dim H$), for $X \in H^2$ the Weyl symbol of the orthogonal projection on Ψ_{Xh} is

$$F_X(Z) = 2^d e^{-\frac{1}{h}|X-Z|^2}, \tag{44}$$

which is meaningless in infinite dimension, contrarily to the Wick symbol which is $e^{-\frac{1}{2h}|X-Z|^2}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space written as $H = E \oplus S$ where E and S are two orthogonal subspaces. Denote by (X', X'') the coordinates in H^2 according to the decomposition $H^2 = E^2 \oplus S^2$. For each $X'' \in S^2$, let $\Psi_{X'',h}$ denotes the corresponding coherent state defined in Section 2 replacing H by S. This coherent state belongs to $L^2(S, \mu_{S,h/2})$. For any polynomial function f and each X'' in S^2, set*

$$(T_{X'',h}f)(t') = e^{|X''|^2/4h} \int_S f(t', t'')\overline{\Psi_{X'',h}(t'')} d\mu_{S,h/2}(t''). \tag{45}$$

For any $G \in S(E^2, Q)$, $Q_h^{weyl,E}(G)$ denotes the Weyl quadratic associated with G and with H replaced by E. Then, for all $\Phi \in S(H^2, Q)$ and for any polynomial functions f, g, we have the following identity,

$$Q_h^{weyl}(H_{S,h/2}\Phi)(f, g) = \int_{S^2} Q_h^{weyl,E}(\Phi(\cdot, X''))(T_{X'',h}f, T_{X'',h}g) d\mu_{S^2,h}(X''). \tag{46}$$

Proof.
According to Proposition 4.5 of [1] one has for any functions Φ and G on H^2,

$$\int_{H^2} (H_{S,h/2}\Phi)(X)G(X) d\mu_{H^2,h/2}(X) = \int_{H^2} \Phi(X', X'')(M_{S,h/2}G)(X', X'') d\mu_{E^2,h/2}(X') d\mu_{S^2,h}(X''),$$

where we set,

$$(M_{S,h/2}G)(X',X'') = \int_{S^2} G\left(X', \frac{X''}{2} + Y''\right) d\mu_{S^2,h/4}(Y'').$$

One applies this identity with $G(X) = H_h^{gauss}(f,g)(X)$. One obtains,

$$Q_h^{weyl}(H_{S,h/2}\Phi)(f,g) = \int_{H^2} \Phi(X',X'')(M_{S,h/2}H_h^{gauss}(f,g))(X',X'')d\mu_{E^2,h/2}(X')d\mu_{S^2,h}(X'').$$

If the subspace S is finite dimensional,

$$(M_{S,h/2}H_h^{gauss}(f,g))(X',X'') = e^{\frac{1}{2h}|X''|^2} \int_{S^2} H_h^{gauss}(f,g)(X',Y'')F_{X''}(Y'')d\mu_{S^2,h/2}(Y''),$$

with $F_{X''}(Y'') = 2^d e^{-\frac{1}{h}|X''-Y''|^2}$. Since $F_{X''}(Y'')$ is the Weyl symbol of the orthogonal projection in $L^2(S,\mu_{S,h/2})$ on $\mathbb{C}\Psi_{X'',h}$, one deduces that,

$$(M_{S,h/2}H_h^{gauss}(f,g))(X',X'') = H_h^{gauss,E}(T_{X'',h}f, T_{X'',h}g)(X'),$$

where $H_h^{gauss,E}$ is the Wigner function for two functions defined on E. The proof of the Proposition 3.5 then follows.

□

Proposition 3.6. *Let S be a subspace of H^2 being either finite dimensional or which is the orthogonal of a finite dimensional subspace included in B'^2. Let $\pi : H^2 \to S$ denotes the corresponding orthogonal projection. Then, for $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ one has,*

$$\|H_{S,t}F - F\|_{Q_A} \leq \sqrt{t}\|F\|_{Q_A}\mathrm{Tr}(A\pi_S)^{1/2}. \tag{47}$$

Proof.
Let (u_j) be an Hilbert basis of H^2 constituted with eigenvectors of A and let λ_j be the corresponding eigenvalues. Using Definition (31) when S is finite dimensional or (43) when the orthogonal complement of S is included in B'. In both cases, one has

$$H_{S,t}F(X) - F(X) = \int_{\widetilde{S}} (\widetilde{F}(X+Y) - F(X))d\mu_{\widetilde{S},t}(Y),$$

where $\widetilde{S} = S$ if S is finite dimensional and where $\widetilde{S}$ is defined in (36) in the other case.

Since F belongs to $S(H^2, Q_A)$ and possibly using its stochastic extension, one has for any $X \in H^2$ and $Y \in \widetilde{S}$,

$$|\widetilde{F}(X+Y) - F(X)| \leq \|F\|_Q \widetilde{Q}(Y)^{1/2},$$

where $\widetilde{Q} = Q$ if S is finite dimensional and in the other case, $\widetilde{Q}$ is the stochastic extension of Q which exists from [1] and satisfies

$$\widetilde{Q}(Y) = \sum_j \lambda_j \ell_{u_j}(Y)^2.$$

Consequently, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sup_{X\in H^2} |H_{S,t}F(X) - F(X)| \leq \|F\|_Q \left[\int_{\widetilde{S}} \widetilde{Q}(Y)d\mu_{\widetilde{S},t}(Y)\right]^{1/2}.$$

Besides,

$$\int_{\widetilde{S}} \widetilde{Q}(Y)d\mu_{\widetilde{S},t}(Y) = t\sum_j \lambda_j |\pi_S u_j|^2 = t\mathrm{Tr}(A\pi_S).$$

One proceeds similarly for the derivatives of F and one then deduces Proposition 3.6.

□

We call polynomial function on B, any linear combination of functions written as $x \mapsto (x \cdot a_1) \dots (x \cdot a_m)$ with $a_j \in B'$.

Proposition 3.7. *Fix $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$. For any finite dimensional E with $E \subset B' \subset H$ there exists a bounded operator $T_E(F)$ in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ satisfying for any polynomials functions f and g on B,*

$$Q_h^{weyl}(H_{(E^\perp)^2,h/2}F)(f,g) =< T_E(F)f, g > . \tag{48}$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds,

$$\|T_E(F)\| \leq \|F\|_Q \ D(E^2, q_A|_{E^2}, 81\pi h \max(1, \|\pi_E \mathcal{F} A i_E\|_{E^2, q_A|_{E^2}}), \pi_E \mathcal{F} A i_E)^{1/2}. \tag{49}$$

Proof.
Since f and g are polynomials functions, these two functions are are linear combinations of functions $x \mapsto (x \cdot a_1) \dots (x \cdot a_m)$ with a_j in B'. There is a finite dimension subspace S being orthogonal to E and such that, for all j, a_j belongs to $E \oplus S$. One sets $B_1 = E$, $B_2 = S$ and set $B_3 = \{x \in B \mid u(x) = 0, \ \forall u \in E \oplus S\}$. One has $B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus B_3$. The variables X in B^2 may be written as $X = (X', X'', X''')$ with $X' \in B_1^2$, $X'' \in B_2^2$, $X''' \in B_3^2$. Using Definition 2.4, Proposition 3.4 and $H_{(E^\perp)^2,h/2} = H_{S^2,h/2} H_{B_3^2,h/2}$ and noticing that $H_h^{gauss}(f,g)$ is a cylindrical function of basis $(E \oplus S)^2$, one has,

$$Q_h^{weyl}(H_{(E^\perp)^2,h/2}F)(f,g) =$$

$$\int_{B^2} \widetilde{(} H_{S^2,h/2} H_{B_3^2,h/2} F(X', X'', X''') \ \widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(f,g)(X', X'') d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(X', X'', X''').$$

Since E and S are finite dimensional subspaces, the stochastic extension is concerned only with the variables X''. In view of the heat operator semigroup property, one deduces

$$\int \widetilde{H}_{B_3^2,h/2} F(X', X'', X''') d\mu_{B_3^2,h/2}(X''') = \int \widetilde{F}(X', X'', X''') d\mu_{B_3^2,h}(X''').$$

This function is denoted by $(Av_{E\oplus S,h}F)(X', X'')$ and it belongs to $S(H^2, Q)$. Consequently,

$$Q_h^{weyl}(H_{(E^\perp)^2,h/2}F)(f,g) = \int_{(E\oplus S)^2} (H_{S,h/2} Av_{E\oplus S,h}F)(X) \ \widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(f,g)(X) d\mu_{(E\oplus S)^2,h/2}(X).$$

Applying Proposition 3.5 with $H = E \oplus S$ and $\Phi = Av_{E\oplus S,h}F$, one has

$$Q_h^{weyl}(H_{(E^\perp)^2,h/2}F)(f,g) = \int_{S^2} Q_h^{weyl,E}(Av_{E\oplus S,h}F)(\cdot, X''))(T_{X'',h}f, T_{X'',h}g) d\mu_{S^2,h}(X'').$$

Applying Theorem 3.2 with the space E and the quadratic form $Q_{A,E}$ being the restriction of Q_A to E^2 together with the function $G(X') = (Av_{E\oplus S,h}F)(X', X'')$ satisfying $\|G\|_{Q_{A,E}} \leq \|F\|_{Q_A}$, one obtains

$$|Q_h^{weyl}(H_{(E^\perp)^2,h/2}F)(f,g)| \leq \|F\|_{Q_A} \ D(E^2, 81\pi h S(A,E), Q_{A,E})^{1/2} \ \dots$$

$$\dots \int_{S^2} \|T_{X'',h}f\|_{L^2(E,\mu_{E,h/2})} \ \|T_{X'',h}g\|_{L^2(E,\mu_{E,h/2})} \ d\mu_{S^2,h}(X''),$$

where $S(A,E) = \sup(1, ||\pi_E \mathcal{F} A i_E||_{E^2, q_A|_{E^2}})$. The Segal Bargmann transform being unitary, one has for any f polynomial function f,

$$\int_{S^2} \|T_{X'',h}f\|^2_{L^2(E,\mu_{E,h/2})} \ d\mu_{S^2,h}(X'') = \|f\|^2.$$

Thus, there exists an operator $T_E(F)$ being bounded in $L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2})$ and satisfying (48) and (49). The proof of Proposition 3.7 is complete.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5.
Let (E_n) be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces with dense union in H.

Step 1. One applies Proposition 3.7 with $E = E_n$. Thus, one obtains a sequence of operators $T_{E_n}F$ satisfying (48) and (49). One notices that, for any finite dimension subspace E of H and for all$z \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$D(E^2, q_A|_{E^2}, z, \pi_E \mathcal{F}Ai_E) = D(B_A, q_A, z, \pi_E \mathcal{F}AP_E)$$

and

$$\|\pi_E \mathcal{F}Ai_E\|_{E^2,q_A|_{E^2}} = \|\pi_E \mathcal{F}AP_E\|_{B_A,q_A}.$$

From Proposition 3.3,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_{E_n}\mathcal{F}AP_{E_n} - \mathcal{F}A\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(B_A,q_A)} = 0,$$

implying,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_{E_n}\mathcal{F}AP_{E_n}\|_{E_n^2,q_A|_{E_n^2}} = \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A}.$$

In view of continuity properties concerning the Fredholm determinant and setting,

$$D_n = D(E_n^2, q_A|_{E_n^2}, 81\pi h \max(1, \|\pi_{E_n}\mathcal{F}Ai_{E_n}\|_{E_n^2,q_A|_{E_n^2}}), \pi_{E_n}\mathcal{F}Ai_{E_n}),$$

one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D_n = D(B_A, q_A, 81\pi h \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A})\ \ \mathcal{F}A).$$

Step 2. Let us prove here that $T_{E_n}(F)$ is a Cauchy sequence. Set m, n with $m < n$ and define $S_{m,n}$ as the orthogonal supplement of E_m in E_n. One then has,

$$H_{(E_m^\perp)2,h/2} = H_{(E_n^\perp)2,h/2} H_{S_{mn},h/2}.$$

Consequently,

$$T_{E_m}(F) = T_{E_n}(H_{S_{mn},h/2}F).$$

From Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.6, one sees

$$\|T_{E_n}(F) - T_{E_m}(F)\| \le \|(I - H_{S_{mn},h/2})F\|_Q D_n \le (h/2)^{1/2} D_n \|F\|_Q \mathrm{Tr}(A\pi_{S_{mn}})^{1/2}.$$

The sequence D_n is bounded according to Step 1 and the trace in the right hand side tends to zero when $m \to \infty$ in view of Theorem 6.3 in [14]. This implies that $T_{E_n}(F)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2}))$.

Step 3. From step 2, it is known that $\lim_{n\to\infty} T_{E_n}(F) = T$ exists and belongs to $\mathcal{L}(L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2}))$. This limit T is denoted by $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$. We now derive identity (5) for any polynomial functions f and g. To this end, n goes to ∞ in equality (48). The right hand side tends to $< Tf, g >=< Op_h^{weyl}(F)f, g >$. From (23), for f and g being (stochastic extensions of) polynomials functions,

$$|Q_h^{weyl}(F - H_{(E_n^\perp)2,h/2}F)(f,g)| \le \|\widetilde{F} - H_{(E_n^\perp)2,h/2}\widetilde{F}\|_\infty C(f,g),$$

where $C(f,g)$ is defined in (23). In the above right hand side, the norm is the L^∞ norm on B^2. From Proposition 3.6, one has

$$\|\widetilde{F} - H_{(E_n^\perp)2,h/2}\widetilde{F}\|_\infty \leq \|F - H_{(E_n^\perp)2,h/2}F\|_Q \leq \|F\|_Q (h/2)^{1/2} \mathrm{Tr}(A\pi_{(E_n^\perp)2})^{1/2}.$$

The trace term in the above right hand side tends to zero as n goes to ∞ using Theorem 6.3 in [14]. Consequently, the left hand side of (48) tends to $Q_h^{weyl}(F)(f,g)$ and the identity (5) is proved.

□

4 Reduced metaplectic group covariance.

4.1 Introduction.

The symplectic form on H^2 is defined by,

$$\sigma((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) = y\cdot\xi - x\cdot\eta. \tag{50}$$

A linear mapping keeping invariant the symplectic form is symplectic. In Shale [25] (in the Fock spaces framework) or in B. Lascar [21] (with the L^2 setting), one associates unitary operators U_χ in $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ or in $L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2})$ with suitable symplectic maps χ in H^2. This extends metaplectic transforms to the infinite dimension case. These operators are also called Bogoliubov transforms. Let us begin with recalling the definition of the suitable symplectic maps.

Definition 4.1. *We denote by* $\mathrm{Sp}_2(H^2)$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Sp}_1(H^2)$*) the group of symplectic maps satisfying that* $[\chi,\mathcal{F}]$ *is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator, (resp. a trace class operator) where* $\mathcal{F}(x,\xi) = (-\xi,x)$.

The fact that χ is symplectic can be written as ${}^t\chi\mathcal{F}\chi = \mathcal{F}$. Then, a symplectic map χ belongs to $\mathrm{Sp}_2(H^2)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}_1(H^2)$) if and only if $I - {}^t\chi\chi$ is an Hilbert Schmidt operator (resp. trace class operator). The following Theorem is a standard result of Shale [25].

Theorem 4.2. *For any* χ *in* $\mathrm{Sp}_2(H)$ *there exists an unitary operator* U_χ *in* $L^2(B,\mu_{B,h/2})$ *satisfying for any* $X \in H^2$,

$$U_\chi^\star V_h(X) U_\chi = V_h(\chi^{-1}(X)), \tag{51}$$

where Weyl translations $V_h(X)$ *are defined in (18). For any* χ_1 *and* χ_2 *in* $\mathrm{Sp}_2(H)$*, one has*

$$U_{\chi_1}\circ U_{\chi_2} = C(\chi_1,\chi_2)U_{\chi_1\chi_2}, \tag{52}$$

where $C(\chi_1,\chi_2)$ *is a constant of module 1.*

An explicit form of the U_χ can be found in [10] in the Fock space framework and in [21] in L^2 space setting.

4.2 Beals property covariance.

When F is a real linear form on H^2 one may define (see Definition 2.4) a quadratic form $Q_h^{weyl}(F)$ on the space of polynomial functions stochastic extensions. It is the quadratic form corresponding to

the selfadjoint unbounded operator denoted by $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$ with domain containing polynomial functions stochastic extensions. In the Fock space framework, this operator is usually called Segal field.

From Proposition 8.10 of [1], if $F(x,\xi) = a \cdot x + b \cdot \xi$ with $(a,b) \in H^2$ then $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$ is the following selfadjoint operator defined on a suitable domain,

$$Op_h^{weyl}(F)f(u) = \ell_{a+ib}(u)f(u) + \frac{h}{i} b \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}. \tag{53}$$

Thus, the operators $V_h(X)$ in Section 2 and the operator $L_h(X)$ in Definition 1.6 are related by,

$$V_h(X) = e^{-\frac{i}{h}L_h(X)}, \quad X \in H^2. \tag{54}$$

From Theorem 4.2, one deduces that, if F is a continuous linear form on H^2,

$$U_\chi^\star Op_h^{weyl}(F)U_\chi = Op_h^{weyl}(F \circ \chi). \tag{55}$$

In particular, for any $V \in H^2$

$$U_\chi^\star L_h(V)U_\chi = L_h(\chi^{-1}(V)). \tag{56}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(H^2)$ and U_χ be the unitary map in Theorem 4.2. Then, the map $A \mapsto U_\chi^\star A U_\chi$ is an isometry from the space $\in \mathcal{L}(Q)$ (see Definition 1.6) onto the space $\mathcal{L}_p(Q \circ \chi)$.*

Proof.
Assume $p = 1$. Let $(A_h) \in \mathcal{L}_1(Q)$. For all $V \in H^2$, one has in view of (56),

$$\mathrm{ad}(L_hV)(U_\chi^\star A_h U_\chi) = U_\chi^\star \Big[(U_\chi(L_hV)U_\chi^\star), A_h\Big] U_\chi$$

$$= U_\chi^\star \Big[L_h(\chi(V)), A_h\Big] U_\chi$$

and using $(A_h) \in \mathcal{L}_1(Q)$ and since U_χ is unitary, one gets that,

$$\|\mathrm{ad}(L_hV)(U_\chi^\star A_h U_\chi)\| \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(Q)} Q(\chi(V))^{1/2}.$$

Consequently, $U_\chi^\star A_h U_\chi$ indeed belongs to $\mathcal{L}_1(Q \circ \chi)$. One proceeds similarly for the space $\mathcal{L}_p(Q)$.

□

4.3 Weyl calculus covariance.

For each $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ and any $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(H^2)$, the function $F \circ \chi \in S(H^2, Q_A \circ \chi)$. In particular, these functions F and $F \circ \chi$ have stochastic extensions. Thus, one may consider the Weyl operators associated to F and $F \circ \chi$.

Theorem 4.4. *Set $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}_1(H^2)$, $F \in S(H^2, Q_A)$ where A is a nonnegative selfadjoint trace class operator in H^2. Then,*

$$U_\chi^\star Op_h^{weyl}(F)U_\chi = Op_h^{weyl}(F \circ \chi), \tag{57}$$

where U_χ is the unitary operator in Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 2.3 in [21] with slight modifications reads as,

Theorem 4.5. *Each $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(H)$, (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}_1(H)$) can be uniquely written as $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2\chi_3$ where,*

i) The map $\chi_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ is unitary and symplectic.

ii) The map $\chi_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ is written as $(x,\xi) \mapsto (x, \xi + Sx)$ where $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a symmetric and Hilbert-Schmidt (resp. trace class) operator.

iii) The map $\chi_3 \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ is written as $(x,\xi) \mapsto (Tx, T^{-1}\xi)$ where $T \in GL(H)$ is symmetric positive invertible operator satisfying $T - I$ is an Hilbert-Schmidt (resp. trace class) operator.

Proof.
Set $V = \{0\} \times H \subset H^2$, $\chi \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(H)$. Then $\chi(V)$ is a closed subspace of H^2 on which the symplectic form σ vanishes identically. Let (u_j) be an Hilbert basis of $\chi(V)$ (endowed with the usual scalar product in H^2) and let (e_j) be an Hilbert basis of H. There exists φ_1 orthogonal and symplectic in H^2, satisfying $\varphi_1(0, e_j) = u_j$. Thus, $\varphi = \varphi_1^{-1}\chi$ maps V into V. One then may write,

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

One knows that a symplectic map is unitary if and only if it commutes with $\mathcal{F}$. Consequently, φ belongs to $\mathrm{Sp}_2(H)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}_1(H)$). Thus, A is invertible, $D =^t A^{-1}$, $A - D$ and C are Hilbert-Schmidt operators. Using polar decomposition one writes $A = US$ with U orthogonal and S symmetric, positive and invertible. One has $D = US^{-1}$. Therefore, $S - S^{-1}$ is an Hilbert Schmidt (resp. trace class) operator implying that $S - I$ Hilbert Schmidt (resp. trace class). Consequently,

$$\varphi = \psi_1\chi_2\chi_3, \quad \psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ T & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $T = U^{-1}CS^{-1}$. One has $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2\chi_3$ with $\chi_1 = \varphi_1\psi_1$ which is symplectic and unitary. Since ψ_2 is symplectic, then T is an Hilbert Schmidt (resp. trace class) operators. Therefore, χ_2 and χ_3 have the required properties.

□

Metaplectic transforms explicit forms.
We recall here, without proof the explicit form of U_χ given by B. Lascar [21] in each case i), ii) and iii) above (Theorem 4.5).

Case of χ_1. The Bargmann transform (also named FBI transform) of f is the function $T_h f$ defined on H^2 by,

$$f \mapsto (T_h f)(X) = \frac{< f, \Psi_{Xh} >}{< \Psi_{0h}, \Psi_{Xh} >}, \quad X \in H^2. \tag{58}$$

We know from [1] that the map $X \mapsto (T_h f)(X)$ defined on H^2 has a stochastic extension denoted by $\widetilde{T}_h f$ in $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h})$. In addition, the map $f \mapsto \widetilde{T}_h f$ is a partial isometry from $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ into $L^2(B^2, \mu_{B,h})$. For any unitary and symplectic $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ one associates as in [21] an operator U_χ defined by,

$$U_\chi f = \widetilde{T}_h^\star((\widetilde{T}_h f) \circ \chi). \tag{59}$$

The above right hand side is the stochastic extension of the map defined on H^2 by $X \mapsto (T_h f)(\chi(X))$.

Case of χ_2. Let (u_j) be an Hilbert basis of H constituted with eigenvectors of S and let λ_j be the

corresponding eigenvalues. We set,

$$\varphi_S(x) = \sum_j \lambda_j (\ell_{u_j}(x)^2 - \frac{h}{2}). \tag{60}$$

The above series defines an element of $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$. Indeed, it is known that for all $u \in H$, the element $u \otimes u$ in $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ corresponds through the Segal isomorphism to the element $\ell_u(x)^2 - (h/2)$ in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ ([19][26]). The series $\sum \lambda_j u_j \otimes u_j$ thus defines an element in $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ corresponding to φ_S through this isomorphism. The metaplectic transform related to χ_2, U_{χ_2}, is defined in [21] by,

$$U_{\chi_2} f(x) = e^{\frac{i}{2h}\varphi_S(x)} f(x). \tag{61}$$

For χ_3, we don't follow [21] but we start with two results concerning change of variables in integrals on Wiener spaces. They will be used again in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Proposition 4.6. *Let (i, H, B) be a Wiener space. Set $\mu_{B,h}$ the Gaussian measure on B with variance h. Set $\varphi \in GL(B)$ and let ν_h be the Borelian measure on B defined by,*

$$\nu_h(E) = \mu_{B,h}(\varphi^{-1}(E)) \text{ with } E \subset B,\ E \text{ a Borel set.}$$

If φ restricted to H satisfies $\varphi^t \circ \varphi = I + K$ where $K \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is selfadjoint and Hilbert Schmidt then ν_h is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{B,h}$. Set $D_h(x)$ the density of ν_h with respect to $\mu_{B,h}$. Then, this function D_h belongs to $L^1(B, \mu_{B,h})$ and one has, for every $\Phi \in L^1(B, \mu_{B,h})$,

$$\int_B \Phi(x) D_h(x) d\mu_{B,h}(x) = \int_B \Phi(\varphi(x)) d\mu_{B,h}(x). \tag{62}$$

In addition, if (u_j) is an Hilbert basis of H made of eigenvectors of K and if the ν_j are the related eigenvalues, then one has,

$$D_h(x) = \prod (\nu_j + 1)^{-1/2} \exp\Big[\nu_j \ell_{u_j}(x)^2 / (h(\nu_j + 1))\Big]. \tag{63}$$

Proof.
For any measurable and bounded Φ one has,

$$\int_B \Phi(x) d\nu_h(x) = \int_B \Phi(\varphi(x)) d\mu_{B,h}(x).$$

Thus, for any $a \in B'$,

$$\int_B e^{-i<a,x>} d\nu_h(x) = \int_B e^{-i<a,\varphi(x)>} d\mu_{B,h}(x).$$

From Theorem 1.2,

$$\int_B e^{-i<a,x>} d\nu_h(x) = \int_B e^{-i<{}^t\varphi a, x>} d\mu_{B,h}(x) = e^{-\frac{h}{2}|{}^t\varphi a|^2}.$$

The dual quadratic form $Q(a) = |{}^t\varphi a|^2$ is written as $Q(a) = (a + Ka) \cdot a$ where $K = \varphi^t\varphi - I$. We have assumed that K is a Hilbert Schmidt operator. From a result of Segal and Feldman (a statement can be found in Ramer [23], Section 3 or in Theorem 1.23 of [26]), we know that ν_h is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{B,h}$. If $D_h(x)$ denotes the corresponding density, one indeed has (62). Equality (63) also is a statement of Ramer [23] (Section 3).

□

Proposition 4.7. *i) Let $T \in GL(H)$ be a symmetric positive invertible operator such that $T - I$ is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Then, there exists an Hilbert space B_T containing H such that the triplet (i, H, B_T) is a Wiener space, and the map $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has, by density, an extension as an element of $GL(B_T)$.*

ii) Let ψ be one of the symplectic map χ_2 or χ_3 in Theorem 4.5. There exists an Hilbert space B_ψ containing H^2 such that the triplet (i, H^2, B_ψ) is a Wiener space, and such that the map $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ has an extension as an element of $GL(B_\psi)$.

Proof.
i) Let $H_0 = \mathrm{Ker}\, T - I$, $H_1 = H_0^\perp$ its orthogonal in H and B_1 the completion of H_1 for the norm $|(T-I)x|$. By [20] (Exercise 17, page 59), we know that (i_1, H_1, B_1) is a Wiener space, and therefore, if $B_T = H_0 \otimes B_1$, with the norm sum of those of the two components, then (i, H, B_T) is a Wiener space. If $x = x' + x'' \in H$, with $x' \in H_0$ and $x'' \in H_1$, we have $|Tx|_{B_T} \leq \max(1, \|T\|)|x|_{B_T}$, and therefore T has an extension in $\mathcal{L}(B_T)$. Since T^{-1} satisfies the same hypotheses, ($T^{-1} - I$ is Hilbert Schmidt), and since $\mathrm{Ker}\, T^{-1} - I = \mathrm{Ker}\, T - I$, T^{-1} has also an extension in $\mathcal{L}(B_T)$, and the extension of T^{-1} is the inverse of the extension of T.

ii) Let $\psi(x, \xi) = (x, \xi + Sx)$ where $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a symmetric and Hilbert-Schmidt operator. One has $H^2 = \mathrm{ker}S \oplus (\mathrm{ker}S)^\perp \oplus H$. Let B_1 and B_3 be Hilbert spaces such that $(i_1, \mathrm{ker}S, B_1)$ and (i_3, H, B_3) are Wiener spaces. Moreover let B_2 be the completion of $(\mathrm{ker}S)^\perp$ with respect to the norm $|Sx|$. Since S is Hilbert Schmidt $(i_2, (\mathrm{ker}S)^\perp, B_2)$ is a Wiener space. One sets $B_\psi = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus B_3$ with its natural norm. Then (i, H^2, B_ψ) is a Wiener triplet. For $(x, \xi) = (x_1, x_2, \xi)$ in H^2 one has

$$|\chi_2(x, \xi)|_{B_\psi} = |x_1|_{B_1} + |Sx_2| + |\xi + Sx_2|_{B_3} \leq |x_1|_{B_1} + |Sx_2| + |\xi|_{B_3} + C|Sx_2|,$$

where $C > 0$ is such that $|\xi|_{B_3} \leq C|\xi|$. Clearly, $|\chi_2(x, \xi)|_{B_{\chi_2}} \leq (1 + C)|(x, \xi)|_{B_{\chi_2}}$ and there exists an extension of χ_2 in $\mathcal{L}(B_{\chi_2})$. We conclude as above that this extension is invertible. For $\psi = \chi_3$ one may proceed in the same way.

□

Case of χ_3. Suppose that B_1 and B_2 are Banach spaces such that (i_1, H, B_1) and (i_2, H, B_2) are Wiener spaces. The $L^2(B_j, \mu_{B_j, h/2})$ are isomorphic. Therefore, we are allowed to choose a convenient Banach space B adapted to χ_3. If $\chi_3(x, \xi) = (Tx, T^{-1}\xi)$, where $T \in GL(H)$ is symmetric positive invertible operator such that $T - I$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. We use the space B_T in the point i) of Proposition 4.7. Applying Proposition 4.6 with B_T, with the extension $\widetilde{T}$ of T, with the variance $h/2$, and setting,

$$(U_{\chi_3} f)(x) = f(\widetilde{T}x) D_h(\widetilde{T}x)^{-1/2}, \tag{64}$$

one defines a unitary map in $L^2(B_T, \mu_{B_T, h/2})$ which can be carried to $L^2(B, \mu_{B, h/2})$.

Proof of Theorem 4.4.
It is sufficient to prove (57) for each kind of generator χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 of Theorem 4.5. The form of U_χ obtained above for the three generators shows that U_χ commutes with the parity operator $f \mapsto \check{f}$. Therefore, for any $f, g \in L^2(B, \mu_{B, h/2})$ and $X \in H^2$ one has

$$H_h^{gauss}(U_\chi f, U_\chi g)(X) = e^{(|X|^2 - |\chi^{-1}(X)|^2)/h} H_h^{gauss}(f, g)(\chi^{-1}(X)). \tag{65}$$

Therefore the proof of (57) is only a problem of change of variables in an integral.

Proof of (57) for χ_1.
Let f and g be two polynomials functions. One has,

$$< U_{\chi_1}^{\star} Op_h^{weyl}(F) U_{\chi_1} f, g >= \int_{B^2} \widetilde{F}(X) \widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(U_{\chi_1} f, U_{\chi_1} g)(X) d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(X).$$

It follows from (65) that $H_h^{gauss}(U_{\chi_1} f, U_{\chi_1} g)(X)$ has a stochastic extension and since χ_1 is unitary,

$$H_h^{gauss}(U_{\chi_1} f, U_{\chi_1} g)(X) = H_h^{gauss}(f, g)(\chi_1^{-1}(X)).$$

Consequently, the left hand side is a polynomial function which has therefore a stochastic extension. Since F is in $S(H^2, Q_A)$, it also has a stochastic extension. Let $\Phi(X) = F(X) H_h^{gauss}(f, g)(\chi_1^{-1}(X))$, and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ its stochastic extension in $L^1(B^2, \mu_{B^2,h/2})$. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_\chi$ be the extension of $\Phi \circ \chi$ (which exists for the same reason). We shall prove that if χ is unitary,

$$\int_{B^2} \widetilde{\Phi}_\chi(X) d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(X) = \int_{B^2} \widetilde{\Phi}(X) d\mu_{B^2,h/2}(X).$$

In fact, if (E_n) is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces with dense union in H^2 one has,

$$\int_{B^2} (\Phi \circ \chi)(\widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}(X)) d\mu_{B^2,h}(X) = \int_{E_n} (\Phi \circ \chi)(x) d\mu_{E_n,h}(X)$$

$$= \int_{\chi E_n} \Phi(x) d\mu_{\chi E_n,h}(X) = \int_{B^2} \Phi(\tilde{\pi}_{\chi E_n}(X)) d\mu_{B^2,h}(X).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ one concludes easily using Lebesgue theorem. Thus, if f and g are polynomials functions,

$$< U_{\chi_1}^{\star} Op_h^{weyl}(F) U_{\chi_1} f, g >=< Op_h^{weyl}(F \circ \chi_1) f, g > .$$

For general f and g, the equality is obtained by density. Consequently, (57) is proved for $\chi = \chi_1$.

Before the proof of (57) for χ_2 and χ_3, we need the additional two propositions.

Proposition 4.8. *Let (i, H, B) be a Wiener space, T a trace class selfadjoint operator in H and let the λ_j be the eigenvalues of T. If $p\lambda_j < 1$ for all j, then the function F defined on H by $F(X) = e^{(TX)\cdot X/h}$ has a stochastic extension to $L^p(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$.*

Proof.
Let (u_j) be an Hilbert basis of H consisting of eigenvectors of T, let p' with $p < p'$ and $p' \sup \lambda_j < 1$ and let $q' = pp'/(p' - p)$. It is known that $\varphi(X) = (TX) \cdot X$ has a stochastic extension stochastic in $L^{q'}(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, which is given by,

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(X) = \sum \lambda_j \ell_{u_j}(x)^2.$$

It follows that, for each increasing sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of H with dense union, the sequence $\varphi \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{q'}(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$. Let us also prove that the sequence $e^{(1/h)\varphi \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}}$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$. Indeed,

$$\int_B e^{(p'/h)\varphi \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}(X)} d\mu_{B,h/2}(X) = \det(I - p' \pi_{E_n} T \pi_{E_n})^{-1/2}.$$

Since $p' \sup \lambda_j < 1$, the integral is well defined and bounded when $n \to \infty$. Since the sequence $\varphi \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{q'}(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$ and since the sequence $e^{(1/h)\varphi \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}}$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, then the Hölder inequality proves that the sequence $e^{(1/h)\varphi \circ \widetilde{\pi}_{E_n}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, which proves the proposition.

□

Proposition 4.9. *Let f and g be polynomial functions, let ψ be one of the maps χ_2 or χ_3 in Theorem 4.5. Let B_ψ be the Hilbert space obtained in Proposition 4.7 and we also call ψ the density extension of ψ. Set U_ψ the metaplectic transform in Theorem 4.2. Then, the Wigner function $H_h^{gauss}(U_\psi f, U_\psi g)$ defined on H^2 has a stochastic extension in some $L^p(B_\psi, \mu_{B_\psi, h/2})$. This stochastic extension equals to,*

$$\widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(U_\psi f, U_\psi g)(X) = D_h(X)\widetilde{H}_h^{gauss}(f,g)(\psi^{-1}(X)), \tag{66}$$

where $D_h(X)$ is the Radon Nikodym density of Proposition 4.6.

Proof.
One uses equality (65). Since the function $F_1(X) = H_h^{gauss}(f,g)(\chi_j^{-1}(X))$ is polynomial then it has a stochastic extension, for $j=2$ and $j=3$. We may write

$$F_2(X) = e^{(|X|^2 - |\chi_j^{-1}(X)|^2)/h} = e^{(TX)\cdot X/h},$$

with $T = I - {}^t\chi_j^{-1}\chi_j^{-1}$. When $j=2$, (see Theorem 4.5),

$$\chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ S & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} -S^2 & S \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5. If $\chi_2 \in Sp_1(H^2)$ then T is trace class. Let (v_j) be an Hilbert basis of H consisting of eigenvectors of S and let (μ_j) be the corresponding eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of T are,

$$\lambda_j^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\Big[-\mu_j^2 \pm \sqrt{\mu_j^4 + 4\mu_j^2}\Big].$$

Let $\rho = \sup|\mu_j|$. If p satisfies, $1 \le p < \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\rho^2}}\right]$ then, for any j, one has $p\lambda_j^{\pm} < 1$. According to Proposition 4.8, F_2 has a stochastic extension in $L^p(B_{\chi_2}, \mu_{B_{\chi_2}, h/2})$. Let $(U_j^{\pm})$ be an Hilbert basis of H^2 consisting of eigenvectors of T, corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_j^{\pm}$. Then the stochastic extension of F_2 is,

$$\widetilde{F}_2(X) = \exp\left[\sum_j \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \lambda_j^{\pm} \ell_{U_j^\sigma}(X)^2\right].$$

One applies Proposition 4.6 with $B_1 = B_{\chi_2}$ defined in Proposition 4.7 and with φ the density extension of χ_2 to B_1 according to Proposition 4.7. One sees that $K = \chi_2^t\chi_2 - I$ (in Proposition 4.6) and $T = I - {}^t\chi_2^{-1}\chi_2^{-1}$ are related bt $T = K(K+I)^{-1}$. Each of the eigenvalues $\lambda_j^{\pm}$ of T is related to one of the eigenvalues ν_k of K by $\lambda_j^{\pm} = \nu_k/(1+\nu_k)$, with the same eigenvectors. Besides, one has $(1-\lambda_j^+)(1-\lambda_j^-) = 1$. For each k there exists k' such that $(1+\nu_k)(1+\nu_{k'}) = 1$. Consequently, the stochastic extension $\widetilde{F}_2$ is the function D_h of Proposition 4.7, which achieves the proof for χ_2. Similar considerations are valid for χ_3.

□

Proof of (57) for χ_2 and χ_3.
Let f and g be polynomial functions, and χ be either χ_2, or χ_3. The quadratic form $Q_h^{weyl}(U_\chi f, U_\chi g)$ may be defined with the integral form of Definition 2.4 under the only condition that the Wigner function $H_h^{gauss}(U_\chi f, U_\chi g)$ has a stochastic extension. Besides, for this stochastic extension, one may replace B^2 by any other Banach space $\widetilde{B}$ such that $(i, H^2, \widetilde{B})$ is a Wiener space. One then can use Proposition 4.7 and the space B_χ obtained there in order to construct this extension. From Proposition 4.9, $H_h^{gauss}(U_{\chi_j} f, U_{\chi_j} g)$

has a stochastic extension in some $L^p(B_\chi, \mu_{B_\chi,h/2})$ and from (66) one has for $j = 2, 3$, for f and g polynomial functions,

$$< U^\star_{\chi_j} Op^{weyl}_h(F) U_{\chi_j} f, g >= \int_{B_{\chi_j}} \widetilde{F}(X) D_h(X) \widetilde{H}^{gauss}_h(f,g)(\chi_j^{-1}(X)) d\mu_{B_{\chi_j},h/2}(X).$$

We apply Proposition 4.6 with,

$$\Phi(X) = \widetilde{F}(X) \widetilde{H}^{gauss}_h(f,g)(\chi_j^{-1}(X)).$$

Proposition 4.6 thus shows that,

$$< U^\star_{\chi_j} Op^{weyl}_h(F) U_{\chi_j} f, g >= \int_{B_{\chi_j}} \widetilde{(F \circ \chi)}(X) \widetilde{H}^{gauss}_h(f,g)(X) d\mu_{B_{\chi_j},h/2}(X),$$

then, for polynomial functions, one deduces,

$$< U^\star_{\chi_j} Op^{weyl}_h(F) U_{\chi_j} f, g >=< Op^{weyl}_h(F \circ \chi) f, g > .$$

Finally (57) then follows by density for $f, g \in L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$.

□

5 Beals characterization.

5.1 Wick bisymbol.

With families of operators one usually associates various symbols. Even in finite dimension it does not seem possible to deduce the Weyl symbol from the Wick one by an integral relation. However this may be achieved from Wick bisymbol.

Let B be a bounded operator on $L^2(B\mu_{B,h/2})$, let $X \in H^2$ and let Ψ_{Xh} be the coherent state defined in (24). The Wick bisymbol is defined by,

$$S_h(B)(X,Y) = \frac{< B\Psi_{Xh}, \Psi_{Yh} >}{< \Psi_{Xh}, \Psi_{Yh} >} \quad X, Y \in H^2. \tag{67}$$

The function $S_h(B)(X,Y)$ is holomorphic in $x + i\xi$, antiholomorphic in $y + i\eta$ and its restriction to the diagonal is the Wick symbol $\sigma^{wick}_h(B)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $d_{diag}(S_hB)(X,Y)$ be the derivative of $H^2 \ni V \to (S_hB)(X+V, Y+V)$. Suppose that (B_h) is a family in $\mathcal{L}_m(Q_A)$ (see Definition 1.6) and $V_1, \ldots, V_m \in H^2$. Then,*

$$|d^m_{diag}(S_hB_h)(X,Y)(V_1,\ldots,V_m)| \leq \|B_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_m(Q_A)}\ e^{\frac{1}{4h}(|X-Y|^2)}\ \prod_{j=1}^m Q_A(V_j)^{1/2}. \tag{68}$$

Proof.
For $X \in H^2$, let $V_h(X)$ be the Weyl translation (see Section 2.1). From (26),

$$< B\Psi_{X+Z,h}, \Psi_{Y+Z,h} >= e^{\frac{i}{2h}\sigma(X-Y,Z)} < V_h(Z)^\star B V_h(Z) \Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{Y,h} > .$$

In particular,

$$< \Psi_{X+Z,h}, \Psi_{Y+Z,h} >= e^{\frac{i}{2h}\sigma(X-Y,Z)} < \Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{Y,h} > .$$

Consequently,

$$(S_h B)(X+Z, Y+Z) = (S_h(V_h(Z)^\star B V_h(Z)))(X,Y).$$

Let $L_h Z$ be the operator defined above Definition 1.6. From (54), one has,

$$\frac{d}{dt} V_h(tZ)^\star B_h V_h(tZ)\Big|_{t=0} = (i/h)[L_h Z, B_h].$$

Consequently,

$$(d_{diag} S_h B)(X,Y)(Z) = (i/h) S_h([L_h Z, B])(X,Y).$$

From (27) and (67), one has for any B bounded,

$$|(S_h B)(X,Y)| \leq \|B\| e^{\frac{1}{4h}|X-Y|^2}.$$

Using Definition 1.6 and iterating, one deduces (68).

□

5.2 The finite dimensional situation.

Proposition 5.2. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, $\dim H = d < \infty$. Let $(e_j)_{1\leq j\leq d}$ be an Hilbert basis of H and set $m \geq 0$. Then, for any family (A_h) in $\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A)$ there exists a function F_h in $S(H^2, Q_A)$ satisfying,*

$$Op_h^{weyl}(F_h) = A_h, \tag{69}$$

$$H_{h/2} F_h = \sigma_h^{wick}(A_h), \tag{70}$$

and for $h < 1$,

$$\|F_h\|_{m,Q_A} \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A)} \prod_{j\leq d} (1 + hKS^2\lambda_j), \tag{71}$$

where K is some universal constant and,

$$\lambda_j = \max(Q(e_j,0), Q(0,e_j)), \quad S = \max(1, \sup \lambda_j). \tag{72}$$

The standard proof of Beals Theorem in finite dimension corresponds to the step 1 below with $I = \{1,\ldots,d\}$. However, in the analogue of (71), one would obtain a constant going surely to infinity with d, whereas the below two steps proof allows to get (71) where, for some cases, the above product can remain bounded as d goes to infinity.

Proof.
Step 1. For $I \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}$, let $E(I)$ be the subspace of H spanned by the e_j with $j \in I$. Then, for any $I \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}$, one may construct a function $G_{I,h}$ satisfying,

$$H_{E(I),h/2} G_{I,h} = \sigma_h^{wick}(A_h). \tag{73}$$

The function $G_{I,h}$ is first defined as a distribution by the following relations,

$$G_{I,h}(X) = \int_{E(I)^4} E_h(X, S_I, T_I) K_h(S_I, T_I) d\lambda(S_I, T_I), \tag{74}$$

where λ is the Lebesgue measure and where,

$$E_h(X, S_I, T_I) = (S_h(A_h)) \left(X + S_I + \frac{T_I}{2}, X + S_I - \frac{T_I}{2} \right) \tag{75}$$

and

$$K_h(S_I, T_I) = 2^{|I|}(2\pi h)^{-2|I|} e^{-\frac{1}{h}|S_I|^2 - \frac{1}{4h}|T_I|^2 - \frac{i}{h}\sigma(S_I, T_I)}. \tag{76}$$

In order to prove (73) at the distribution level, one may see,

$$H_{E(I),h/2} G_{I,h}(X) = \int_{E(I)^4} H_{h/2}^{S_I} E_h(X, S_I, T_I)\ K_h(S_I, T_I) d\lambda(S_I, T_I) \tag{77}$$

$$= \int_{E(I)^4} E_h(X, S_I, T_I)\ H_{h/2}^{S_I} K_h(S_I, T_I) d\lambda(S_I, T_I),$$

where $H_{h/2}^{S_I}$ is the heat operator in the variable S_I. An explicit computation shows that,

$$H_{h/2}^{S_I} K_h(S_I, T_I) = (2\pi h)^{-2|I|} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2h} \left(S + \frac{T}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\overline{S} - \frac{\overline{T}}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2h} \left(\left| S + \frac{T}{2} \right|^2 + \left| S - \frac{T}{2} \right|^2 \right) \right].$$

Here and contrarily to the notations used elsewhere in this work, $S \cdot \overline{T} = (s + i\sigma) \cdot (t - i\tau)$ if $S = (s, \sigma)$ and $T = (t, \tau)$. Since $S_h(A_h)$ is holomorphic with respect to the first variable (when identifying H^2 with the complexified of H), antiholomorphic with respect to the second one, then the mean value formula proves that the integral (81) is equal to $E_h(X, 0, 0)$, i.e, equals to $\sigma_h^{wick}(A_h)(X)$. Estimates of Proposition 5.1 and formula (76) show that one has a convergence difficulty with the variable T_I and that the integral (74) is meaningful only as a distribution. Integrating by part as in[2], one shows that,

$$G_{I,h}(X) = \int_{E(I)^4} K_h(S_I, T_I)\ L_I E_h(X, S_I, T_I) d\lambda(S_I, T_I), \tag{78}$$

where setting $S = (s, \sigma), T = (t, \tau)$,

$$L_I = \prod_{j \in I} \left(1 + \frac{t_j^2}{h} \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{\tau_j^2}{h} \right)^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{M}_2(I)} a_{\alpha\beta}(S_I/\sqrt{h}) h^{(|\alpha| + |\beta|)/2} \partial_s^\alpha \partial_\sigma^\beta, \tag{79}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_2(I)$ is the subset of multi-indices (α, β) satisfying $\alpha_j = \beta_j = 0$ if $j \notin I$ and $\alpha_j \leq 2$, $\beta_j \leq 2$ for any $j \in I$. One may find the values of the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}$ in [2]. After these transformations, (74) is a convergent integral, and this proves that the distribution $G_{I,h}$ defined in (74) is a continuous and bounded function. From Proposition 5.1 one has,

$$|\partial_s^\alpha \partial_\sigma^\beta E_h(X, S_I, T_I)| \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{|\alpha| + |\beta|}(Q_A)}\ e^{\frac{1}{4h}|T_I|^2} \prod_{j \in I} Q_A(e_j, 0)^{\alpha_j/2} Q_A(0, e_j)^{\beta_j/2}. \tag{80}$$

Following [2], one has,

$$\int_{E(I)^4} |K_h(S_I, T_I)| e^{\frac{1}{4h}|T_I|^2} \prod_{j \in I} \left(1 + \frac{t_j^2}{h} \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{\tau_j^2}{h} \right)^{-1} |a_{\alpha\beta}(S_I/\sqrt{h})| d\lambda(S_I, T_I) \leq K^{|I|}, \tag{81}$$

where K is a universal constant.

Taking $I = \{1, ..., d\}$, we have a proof of the classical Beals theorem in finite dimension, but the estimations of $|G_{I,h}|$ obtained in this way are in fact too rough when d goes to infinity; a much more precise result is obtained in step 2.

Step 2. Let D_j be the subspace spanned by the $(e_j, 0)$ and $(0, e_j)$ and let $H_{D_j,h/2}$ be the corresponding heat operator. For any finite $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, set

$$T_{I,h} = \prod_{j\in I}(I - H_{D_j,h/2}),$$

and for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_h = \sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,d\}} T_{I,h}G_{I,h}, \tag{82}$$

where $G_{I,h}$ is initially defined in (74) and more precisely given in (78).

Let us check (70). One has if $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$, $H_{h/2} = H_{E(I),h/2}H_{E(\{1,\dots,d\}\setminus I),h/2}$ and by (73) and (82),

$$H_{h/2}F_h = \sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,d\}} H_{E(\{1,\dots,d\}\setminus I),h/2}T_{I,h}\sigma_h^{wick}(A_h).$$

All these operators are commuting. One directly checks,

$$\sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,d\}} H_{E(\{1,\dots,d\}\setminus I),h/2}T_{I,h} = I.$$

Thus, one obtains (70). Let us now check estimate (71).

One has for $I \subset \{1, \dots d\}$,

$$T_{I,h}G_{I,h}(X) = \int_{E(I)^4} K_h(S_I, T_I)\ L_I T_{I,h}E_h(X, S_I, T_I)d\lambda(S_I, T_I), \tag{83}$$

where $T_{I,h}$ acts on the variable X and where E_h and K_h defined in (75) and (76). One proceeds like in [1], Lemma 5.4. We can write $I - H_{D_j,h/2}$ in three different ways,

$$I - H_{D_j,h/2} = A_j = h^{1/2}(B_j\partial_{x_j} + C_j\partial_{\xi_j}) = hE_j\Delta_j,$$

where A_j, B_j, C_j and E_j are bounded operators in $C_b(H^2)$ with a norm bounded by some universal constant K_2. In view of (75), one may differentiate $E_h(X, S_I, T_I)$ with respect to X_I or to S_I. One writes for any all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{M}_2(I)$,

$$T_{I,h}\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta = \prod_{j\in I} U_j\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha_j}\partial_{\xi_j}^{\beta_j},$$

where

$$U_j = \begin{cases} A_j & \text{if} \quad \alpha_j + \beta_j \geq 2 \\ h^{1/2}(B_j\partial_{x_j} + C_j\partial_{\xi_j}) & \text{if} \quad \alpha_j + \beta_j = 1 \\ hE_j\Delta_j & \text{if} \quad \alpha_j + \beta_j = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Consequently, for any multi-indices $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{M}_2(I)$,

$$h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2}|T_{I,h}\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta E_h(X, S_I, T_I)| \leq K_3^{|I|} \sum_{(\gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{M}_2'(I)} h^{(|\gamma|+|\delta|)/2}|\partial_x^\gamma\partial_\xi^\delta E_h(X, S_I, T_I)|, \tag{84}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_2'(I) = \{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{M}_2(I) \mid \gamma_j + \delta_j \geq 2,\ \forall j\}$. j. Thus, in view of (83), (84), (79) and (81),

$$|T_{I,h}G_{I,h}(X)| \leq K_4^{|I|} \sum_{(\gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{M}_2'(I)} h^{(|\gamma|+|\delta|)/2}\|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{|\gamma|+|\delta|}(Q_A)} \prod_{j\in I} Q_A(e_j, 0)^{\gamma_j/2}Q_A(0, e_j)^{\delta_j/2}.$$

If $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{M}_2'(I)$, one has $|\gamma| + |\delta| \leq 4|I| \leq 4d$ and $2 \leq \gamma_j + \delta_j \leq 4$, $\forall j \in I$. Consequently, if λ_j and S are defined by (72), $Q_A(e_j, 0)^{\gamma_j/2}Q_A(0, e_j)^{\delta_j/2} \leq \lambda_j S$. Since $|\mathcal{M}_2(I)| = 9^{|I|}$, one has with another universal constant K_5, if $h < 1$,

$$|T_{I,h}G_{I,h}(X)| \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{4d}(Q_A)} \prod_{j\in I}(K_5 hS\lambda_j).$$

Summing over all subsets $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$. One obtains,

$$|F_h(X)| \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{4d}(Q_A)} \prod_{j \leq d} (1 + hKS^2\lambda_j).$$

Proceeding similarly for the derivatives, one deduces (71). Finally, in view of (32) and (70) the operators $Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)$ and A_h having the same Wick symbol are actually equal, which proves (69).

□

Theorem 5.3. *Let H be a real Hilbert space with finite dimension d, $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$, $A^* = A$, $A \geq 0$, and Q_A be the quadratic form (2). Let $m \geq 0$ and (A_h) a family of operators in $\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A)$. Then, there exists (F_h) belonging to $S_m(H^2, Q_A)$ satisfying, $A_h = Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)$ and,*

$$\|F_h\|_{m,Q_A} \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A)} \; D(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A \; , \; q_A \; , \; Kh \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A}) \; , \; (\mathcal{F}A))^{1/2}, \tag{85}$$

with some universal constant $K > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3.
Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, $d = \dim H$, and A a linear map in H^2 being nonnegative and symmetric. Let (e_j) be an orthonormal basis of H, let $u_j = (e_j, 0)$ and $v_j = (0, e_j)$. Let $p \leq d$ and $(U_j), (V_j)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, d\})$, a symplectic basis of H^2 satisfying properties in Theorem 3.1. There exists a symplectic map χ such that $\chi(u_j) = U_j, \chi(v_j) = V_j$. Let U_χ be the metaplectic operator in $L^2(H, \mu_{H,h/2})$ of Theorem 18.5.9 in [17] satisfying (36). From Proposition 4.3, if the familly $(A_h) \in \mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q)$ then the family $D_h = U_\chi^\star A_h U_\chi$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q \circ \chi)$. If p is the integer of Theorem 3.1, one has for $j \leq p$,

$$\|[L_h(v_j), D_h]\| \leq h\|D_h\|_{m+4d,Q\circ\chi} Q \circ \chi(v_j)^{1/2} = h\|D_h\|_{m+4d,Q\circ\chi} Q(V_j)^{1/2} = 0.$$

Consequently, D_h commutes with $L_h(v_j)$ which is from (53) a multiplication by the coordinate x_j. Set $x = (x', x'')$ with $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $x'' = (x_{p+1}, \dots x_d)$. For any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^p$, there exists $D_h(x')$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-p}, \mu_{\mathbb{R}^{d-p},h/2})$ satisfying,

$$(D_h f)(x', x'') = \Big(D_h(x') f(x', \cdot)\Big)(x'').$$

From Proposition 5.2 applied with $\mathbb{R}^{d-p}$ and with $D_h(x')$ together with the quadratic form $\widetilde{Q}$ being the restriction of $Q \circ \chi$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2(d-p)}$, there is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{2(d-p)}$ such that $D_h(x') = Op_h^{weyl}(K_h(x'))$. Moreover,

$$\|K_h(x')\|_{m,Q\circ\chi|_{\mathbb{R}^{2(d-p)}}} \leq \|D_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A\circ\chi)} \prod_{p<j\leq d} (1 + hKS^2\lambda_j),$$

where K is a universal constant, and the λ_j are defined as in (72). Set,

$$G_h(X', X'') = G_h(x', \xi', x'', \xi'') = K_h(x')(x'', \xi'').$$

This function is independant of ξ'. We have $Op_h^{weyl}(G_h) = D_h$. In addition,

$$\|G_h\|_{m,Q_A\circ\chi} \leq \|D_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4n}(Q_A\circ\chi)} \prod_{p<j\leq n} (1 + hKS^2\lambda_j).$$

From (72) applied to $Q_A \circ \chi$, one has

$$\lambda_j = \max(Q \circ \chi(e_j, 0), Q \circ \chi(0, e_j)) = \max(Q(U_j), Q(V_j)).$$

In view of Theorem 3.1, if $j > p$, one has $Q_A(U_j) = Q_A(V_j) = \lambda_j$ where the $(\lambda_j)^2$ are the non vanishing eigenvalues of $-(\mathcal{F}A)^2$. Consequently,

$$\prod_{p<j\leq d} (1 + hKS^2\lambda_j) = D(H^2/\mathrm{Ker}A \ , \ q_A \ , \ Kh\max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2,q_A}) \ , \ (\mathcal{F}A)).$$

In view of Proposition 4.3,

$$\|D_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A\circ\chi)} = \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}_{m+4d}(Q_A)}.$$

The function $F_h = G_h \circ \chi^{-1}$ is in $S_m(H^2, Q_A)$ and its norm verifies (85). Since $Op_h^{weyl}(G_h) = D_h$ and since $D_h = U_\chi^\star A_h U_\chi$, it comes from (36) that $OP_h^{weyl}(F_h) = A_h$, which proves Theorem 5.3.

□

5.3 The infinite dimensional case.

Let (A_h) be a family of $\mathcal{L}(Q_A)$. Let (e_j) be an Hilbert basis of H such that $e_j \in B'$ for every j and let E_n be the subspace generated by the e_j, $j \leq n$. The variable $X \in H^2$ may be written as (X', X''), with $X' \in E_n^2$, $X'' \in (E_n^\perp)^2$. Let $\widetilde{E}_n^\perp$ be the space of $x \in B$ such that $u(x) = 0$ for all $u \in E_n$.

Step 1. By proposition 3.4, $(i, E_n^\perp, \widetilde{E}_n^\perp)$ is a Wiener space. For any $X'' \in (E_n^\perp)^2$ one may define a coherent state with H replaced by $E_n^\perp$, $\Psi_{X'',h}$ belonging to $L^2(\widetilde{E}_n^\perp, \mu_{\widetilde{E}_n^\perp,h/2})$. Let $A_{h,E_n,X''}$ the map defined by,

$$< A_{h,E_n,X''} f, g > = < A_h(f \otimes \Psi_{X'',h}, g \otimes \Psi_{X'',h} > .$$

The operator $A_{h,E_n,X''}$ is bounded in $L^2(E_n, \mu_{E_n,h/2})$. Let $Q_{A,E_n} = Q_A|_{E_n^2}$, let us prove that,

$$\|A_{h,E_n,X''}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_{A,E_n})} \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_A)}. \tag{86}$$

If $V \in E_n^2$, if f and g are polynomial functions, one has,

$$< [L(V), A_{h,E_n,X''}] f, g > = < [L(V), A_h](f \otimes \Psi_{X'',h}) \ , \ g \otimes \Psi_{X'',h}) > .$$

As $\Psi_{X'',h}$ is of norm 1, one has for any $X'' \in (E_n^\perp)^2$,

$$\|[L(V), A_{h,E_n,X''}]\| \leq \|[L(V), A_h]\|.$$

Considering in the same way the iterated commutators, one proves (86). From Theorem 5.3 there exists $F_{h,E_n,X''}(X')$ on E_n^2 such that $Op_h^{weyl,E_n}(F_{h,E_n,X''}(X')) = A_{h,E_n,X''}$. From Theorem 5.3, one also has,

$$\|F_{h,E_n,X''}\|_{Q_{A,E_n}} \leq \|A_{h,E_n,X''}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_{A,E_n})} \ D_n^{1/2},$$

with $D_n = D(E_n^2/\mathrm{Ker}A_n \ , \ q_A \ , \ Kh\max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A_n\|_{E^2,q_A}) \ , \ (\mathcal{F}A_n))$, where $A_n = \pi_{E_n} A i_{E_n}$. Setting $F_{n,h}(X', X'') = F_{h,E_n,X''}(X')$, one has $H_{E_n^2,h/2} F_{h,E_n,X''}(X') = \sigma_h^{wick,E_n}(A_{h,E_n,X''})(X')$, so that,

$$H_{E_n^2,h/2} F_{n,h} = \sigma_h^{wick}(A_h). \tag{87}$$

We also have,

$$\|F_{n,h}\|_\infty \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)} D_n. \tag{88}$$

For each $V_1, \ldots, V_p \in H^2$, the symbol $(h/i)^p (d^p F_{n,h})(\cdot)(V_1, \ldots, V_p)$ is associated in the same way to the family of operators $\mathrm{ad}(L_h V_1) \ldots \mathrm{ad}(L_h V_p) A_h$. This relation between commutators and differentials is common to the Wick and Weyl symbols. Therefore, applying (88) to this operator, we have,

$$h^p \|(d^p F_{n,h})(\cdot)(V_1, \ldots, V_p)\|_\infty \leq D_n \|\mathrm{ad}(L_h V_1) \ldots \mathrm{ad}(L_h V_p) A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)}.$$

Thus, by Definitions 1.6 and 1.3,

$$\|F_{n,h}\|_Q \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)} D_n. \tag{89}$$

Step 2. One may prove as in Theorem 1.5,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D_n = D(B_A, q_A, Kh\max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{B_A,q_A})\ ,\ (\mathcal{F}A)). \tag{90}$$

Let us prove that $(F_{n,h})$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C_b(H^2)$. If $m < n$, let $S_{m,n}$ be the orthogonal supplement of E_m in E_n. Using (87) and $H_{E_n^2,h/2} = H_{S^2_{m,n},h/2} H_{E_m^2,h/2}$ one deduces that $H_{E_m^2,h/2}(F_{m,h} - H_{S^2_{m,n},h/2} F_{n,h}) = 0$. Since $H_{E_m^2,h/2}$ is injective, one has $F_{m,h} = H_{S^2_{mn},h/2} F_{n,h}$. Applying Proposition 3.6 one sees,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty, m<n} \|F_{m,h} - F_{n,h}\|_\infty = \lim_{m\to\infty, m<n} \|(H_{S^2_{m,n},h/2} - I)F_{n,h}\|_\infty \leq \lim_{m\to\infty, m<n} (h/2)^{1/2} \|F_{n,h}\|_Q \,\mathrm{Tr}(A\pi_{S^2_{m,n}})^{1/2}.$$

By (89) and (90), (F_{nh}) is bounded in $S(H^2, Q)$, and the last trace tends to 0 by Theorem 6.3 of Gohberg Krein [14]. Therefore, $F_{n,h}$ has a limit, denoted by F_h, in $C_b(H^2)$. As in the first step, we may apply the same arguments with Beals commutators of A_h and to differentials of F_h. Therefore $F_h \in S(H^2, Q)$ and estimate (11) still holds true.

Step 3. Let us prove that $OP_h^{weyl}(F_h) = A_h$. Using the partial heat operator defined in (31) and (43), we see that, $H_{h/2} = H_{(E_n^2)^\perp,h/2} H_{E_n^2,h/2}$, and (87) proves

$$H_{h/2} F_{nh} = H_{(E_n^2)^\perp,h/2} \sigma_h^{wick}(A_h). \tag{91}$$

For the left hand side, we have,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|H_{h/2}(F_{nh} - F_h)\|_\infty \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|F_{nh} - F_h\|_\infty = 0.$$

For the right hand side of (91), we remark, by Proposition 5.1, that $\sigma_h^{wick}(A_h)$ is in $S(H^2, Q)$, and that, by Proposition 3.6,

$$\|(H_{(E_n^2)^\perp,h/2} - I)\sigma_h^{wick}(A_h)\|_\infty \leq (h/2)^{1/2} \|\sigma_h^{wick}(A_h)\|_{Q_A} \mathrm{Tr}(A\pi_{(E_n^2)^\perp})^{1/2}.$$

The last trace goes to 0 in view of [14] (Theorem 6.3). Therefore, when n goes to infinity in (91), we obtain $H_{h/2} F_h = \sigma_h^{wick}(A_h)$. Since $F_h \in S(H^2, Q)$, we can define the Weyl operator $Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)$. By (32), and by the previous equality, its Wick symbol is the same as the Wick symbol of A_h. Therefore $Op_h^{weyl}(F_h) = A_h$. Theorem 1.7 is thus proved.

□

6 Operators composition.

If (A_h) and (B_h) are two families in $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ one sees, for any vectors $V_1, \ldots, V_m \in H^2$,

$$\|\mathrm{ad}(L_h V_1) \ldots \mathrm{ad}(L_h V_m)(A_h \circ B_h)\| \leq\ 2^m h^m \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)}\ \|B_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)}\ \prod_{j=1}^m Q_A(V_j)^{1/2}, \quad h \in (0, 1].$$

This may be read as,

$$\|(A_h \circ B_h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(4Q)} \leq \|A_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)}\ \|B_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q)}. \tag{92}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.8.
Let (F_h) and (G_h) two families in $S(H^2, Q_A)$. From (9), the families of operators $A_h = Op_h^{weyl}(F_h)$ and $B_h = Op_h^{weyl}(G_h)$ are in $\mathcal{L}(Q)$. By (92) and by Theorem 1.7, there exists a family (K_h) in $\mathcal{S}(H^2, 4Q)$ satisfying (13) and (14). The proof of (15) is similar.

□

7 Applications in field theory.

7.1 Hilbert spaces.

In order to describe the free evolution of photons, without interaction, one uses a configuration Hilbert space consisting of maps $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $k \cdot f(k) = 0$ almost everywhere. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{ph}$ describing the quantized field, with no interaction, at a given time is the symmetrized Fock space $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ associated with the above Hilbert space H. Thus, it also may be considered as an L^2 space, namely, $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, where (i, H, B) is a Wiener space. The space H^2 is named phase space.

The Hilbert space describing the states of N non interacting fixed particles with $1/2$ spin at a given time is $\mathcal{H}_{sp} = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$.

For the whole system (the quantized field and the N particles in a constant magnetic field β), the Hilbert space is the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_{ph} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sp}$.

7.2 Operators.

The Hamiltonian $H(h)$ of the system is a selfadjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}_{ph} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sp}$ which may be written as,

$$H(h) = H_{ph} \otimes I + hH_{int}, \tag{93}$$

where H_{ph} is the photons Hamiltonian (operator in $\mathcal{H}_{ph}$). In the framework of Fock space it is defined as $H_{ph} = hd\Gamma(M)$ where $M : H_{\mathbf{C}} \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the multiplication by $\omega(k) = |k|$ and where $d\Gamma$ associates with operators in $H_{\mathbf{C}}$, selfadjoint unbounded operators in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ (see Reed-Simon [24], Section X). By the isomorphism between the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_s(H_{\mathbf{C}})$ and $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$, this also defines a selfadjoint unbounded operator in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$. With this operator, one may associate a Wick symbol which is the following quadratic form defined on a dense subspace of H^2,

$$H_{ph}(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k| \Big[|q(k)|^2 + |p(k)|^2 \Big] dk.$$

The interaction operator H_{int} is obtained through three operators $B_j(x)$ $j = 1, \ldots, 3$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ which are the components of the magnetic field. These operators are Weyl operators related to symbols which are linear continuous forms on H^2 written as,

$$B_j(x, q, p) = (q, p) \cdot B_{jx}, \tag{94}$$

where B_{jx} belongs to H^2 and written as, when identifying H^2 with the complexified $H_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$B_{jx}(k) = \frac{i\chi(|k|)|k|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-i(k \cdot x)} \frac{k \wedge e_j}{|k|}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}, \tag{95}$$

where $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

The operators $E_j(x)$ are also associated, in a similar way, with a linear form on H^2 written as,

$$E_j(x,q,p) = (q,p) \cdot JB_{jx}, \tag{96}$$

where $J : H^2 \to H^2$ is the helicity operator defined by,

$$J(q,p)(k) = \left(\frac{k \wedge q(k)}{|k|}, \frac{k \wedge p(k)}{|k|} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}. \tag{97}$$

Let σ_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$, be the Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{98}$$

For $\lambda \leq N$ and $m \leq 3$, we denote by $\sigma_m^{[\lambda]}$ the operator in $\mathcal{H}_{sp}$ defined by,

$$\sigma_m^{[\lambda]} = I \otimes \cdots \sigma_m \cdots \otimes I, \tag{99}$$

where σ_m is located at λ^{th} position. Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ be the external constant magnetic field. Let x_λ, $\lambda = 1, \ldots, N$, be the position in $\mathbb{R}^3$ where are localized the fixed $1/2$ spin particles. Then, the interaction Hamiltonian is written as,

$$H_{int} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{3} (\beta_m + B_m(x_\lambda)) \otimes \sigma_m^{[\lambda]}. \tag{100}$$

This operator H_{int} is initially defined on the space of stochastic extensions of polynomials functions with values in $\mathcal{H}_{sp}$.

Let us prove that it has a selfadjoint extension with the same domain as the free operator $H_0 = H_{ph} \otimes I$. It is standard. It is known that if $\varphi \in D(H_{ph}^{1/2})$ and any $V \in H^2$ satisfying $V(k)/\sqrt{|k|} \in H^2$, one has with the notations above Definition 1.6,

$$\|L_h(V)\varphi\| \leq C(|V/\sqrt{|k|}|\|H_{ph}^{1/2}\varphi\| + C|V|\|\varphi\|.$$

See [4] Lemma I.6. Consequently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ (depending on V and h) satisfying,

$$\|L_h(V)\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon \|H_{ph}\varphi\| + C_\varepsilon \|\varphi\|, \quad \varphi \in D(H_{ph}).$$

Since the operators $B_j(x_\lambda)$ have this form, using that B_{j,x_λ} defined in (95) belongs to H^2 after division by $|k|^{1/2}$, one has for $\phi \in D(H_0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|H_{int}\phi\| \leq \varepsilon \|H_0\phi\| + C_\varepsilon \|\phi\|.$$

Consequently, by Kato-Rellich Theorem, the operator $H(h)$ defined in (93) and (100) has an extension as a selfadjoint operator with domain $D(H(h)) = D(H_0) = D(H_{ph}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sp}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the operators $B_j(x)$ and $E_j(x)$ $j = 1, \ldots, 3$ are bounded from $D(H(h))$ to $\mathcal{H}_{ph} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sp}$.

7.3 Quadratic form on H^2.

We define, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a quadratic form Q_t on the phase space H^2. This form involves the free evolution of the magnetic field. In the Fock framework (see Reed-Simon Vol.II) one writes $H_{ph} = hd\Gamma(M)$, where

M is the multiplication by $\omega(k) = |k|$, and consequently, $e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}} = \Gamma(\chi_t)$ where χ_t is the multiplication by $e^{it|k|}$ in $H_{\mathbf{C}}$. If one prefers not identifying H^2 with $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ then χ_t is both unitary and symplectic in H^2 and is defined by,

$$\chi_t(q,p) = (q_t, p_t), \quad \begin{cases} q_t(k) = \cos(t\omega(k))q(k) + \sin(t\omega(k))p(k) \\ p_t(k) = -\sin(t\omega(k))q(k) + \cos(t\omega(k))p(k) \end{cases}. \tag{101}$$

Any operator of the form $U_\chi = \Gamma(\chi)$ with $\chi : H_{\mathbb{C}} \to H_{\mathbb{C}}$ being both $C-$linear and unitary, is written as in (59). In other words, $e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}}$ is a metaplectic operator (or a Bogoliubov transform) corresponding to the unitary and symplectic map χ_t.

If F is a linear form on H^2 one has as in (55), for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}} Op_h^{weyl}(F) e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}} = Op_h^{weyl}(F \circ \chi_t). \tag{102}$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator describing the free evolution of the field, without interaction, is

$$B_j^{free}(x,t) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}} B_j(x) e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}}. \tag{103}$$

From (101), (102) and (103), this operator is through the Weyl calculus associated with a symbol which is a continuous linear form on H^2 written as,

$$B_j^{free}(x,t,q,p) = (q,p) \cdot B_{jxt}, \tag{104}$$

where B_{jxt} is an element of $H^2 \simeq H_{\mathbf{C}}$ given by,

$$B_{jxt}(k) = \frac{i\chi(|k|)|k|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{i(t|k| - k\cdot x)} \frac{k \wedge e_j}{|k|}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}. \tag{105}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one may define a nonnegative quadratic form Q_t on H^2 by,

$$Q_t(q,p) = 3N|t| \sum_{m=1}^{3} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} \int_0^t |B_m^{free}(x_\lambda, s, q, p)|^2 ds. \tag{106}$$

7.4 Statement of the results.

At first, we consider the operator,

$$U_h^{red}(t) = \left[e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}} \otimes I \right] e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}. \tag{107}$$

It is proved in [3] that $U_h^{red}(t)$ is associated through the Weyl calculus with a function $U(t,\cdot,h)$ in the class studied in [1], but to this end, one assumes that χ vanishes in a neighborhood of 0. Our goal is here to drop this condition and to prove that $U_h^{red}(t)$ is associated with a function $U(t,\cdot,h)$ in $S(H^2, Q_t)$.

Theorem 7.1. *For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the family $U_h^{red}(t)$, $h \in (0,1]$ defined in (107) belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}(Q_t)$ (see Definition 1.6) with the quadratic form defined in (106), taking values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp})$. Moreover,*

$$\|U_h^{red}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} = 1. \tag{108}$$

There exists a function $U(t,\cdot,h)$ in $S(H^2, Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$ satisfying,

$$U_h^{red}(t) = Op_h^{weyl}(U(t,\cdot,h). \tag{109}$$

Moreover, with the notations of Theorem 1.7,

$$\|U(t,\cdot,h)\|_{Q_t} \leq \ D(B_{A_t}, q_{A_t}, Kh\max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A_t\|_{B_{A_t},q_{A_t}}) \ , \ (\mathcal{F}A_t))^{1/2}, \tag{110}$$

where A_t satisfies $Q_t(X) = (A_tX)\cdot X$ for all X in H^2 and K is a universal constant. Let us observe that A_t is a trace class operator.

We shall describe the time evolution of some observables, still in the framework of interaction between N fixed spin 1/2 particles and the quantized field. These observables will be the three components of the particles spin, the three components of the electric and magnetic fields at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The operators $E_m(x)$ being the components of the electric field are defined in Section 7.2. One sets, for $\lambda \leq N$,

$$S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,h) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}(I \otimes \sigma_j^{[\lambda]})e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H(h)} \tag{111}$$

and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$B_j(x,t,h) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}(B_j(x) \otimes I)e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}, \tag{112}$$

$$E_j(x,t,h) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}(E_j(x) \otimes I)e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}. \tag{113}$$

We will also use the free evolution of these observables (without interaction), i.e., $B_j^{free}(x,t,h)$ defined in (16) or in (103) and of $E_j^{free}(x,t,h)$ for the electric field.

The following theorem describes the full evolution of the fields and spin operators.

Theorem 7.2. *For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\lambda \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, $h \in (0,1]$, the families of operators $S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,h)$, $B_j(x,t,h) - B_j^{free}(x,t,h)$, $E_j(x,t,h) - E_j^{free}(x,t,h)$, belong to $\mathcal{L}(4Q_t)$ (see Definition 1.6) with Q_t being the quadratic form defined in (106), with values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp})$. Their norms are satisfying,*

$$\|S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(4Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} = 1, \tag{114}$$

$$\|B_j(x,t,h) - B_j^{free}(x,t,h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(4Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} \leq hQ_t(\mathcal{F}B_{jxt})^{1/2}, \tag{115}$$

$$\|E_j(x,t,h) - E_j^{free}(x,t,h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(4Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} \leq hQ_t(\mathcal{F}JB_{jxt})^{1/2}. \tag{116}$$

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for every $\lambda \leq N$ and $j \leq 3$, there exist functions $S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,\cdot,h)$, $B_j^{res}(x,t,\cdot,h)$, $E_j^{res}(x,t,\cdot,h)$ belonging to $S(H^2, 4Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$ satisfying,

$$S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,h) = Op_h^{weyl}(S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,\cdot,h)), \tag{117}$$

$$B_j(x,t,h) = B_j^{free}(x,t,h) + hOp_h^{weyl}(B_j^{res}(x,t,\cdot,h)), \tag{118}$$

$$E_j(x,t,h) = E_j^{free}(x,t,h) + hOp_h^{weyl}(E_j^{res}(x,t,\cdot,h)). \tag{119}$$

Let N be the photon number operator defined in Fock spaces framework by $N = d\Gamma(I)$ (see Reed-Simon [24]). The operator N can also be view as an unbounded selfadjoint operator in $L^2(B, \mu_{B,h/2})$. The Wick symbol of this operator is,

$$N(q,p) = \frac{1}{2h}(|q|^2 + |p|^2).$$

The next result is concerned by the photon number evolution, namely,

$$N(t,h) = e^{i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}(N \otimes I)e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H(h)}. \tag{120}$$

Theorem 7.3. *One has,*

$$\frac{d}{dt}N(t,h) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N}\sum_{m=1}^{3} X_{m\lambda}(t) \circ S_m^{[\lambda]}(t,h), \tag{121}$$

where $S_m^{[\lambda]}(t,h)$ is defined in (111) and where, with the notations of Definition 1.6,

$$X_{m\lambda}(t) = -L_h(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda}) \otimes I + Y_{m\lambda}(t), \tag{122}$$

where $Y_{m\lambda}(t) \in S(H^2, 4Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$ and satisfies,

$$\|Y_{m\lambda}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(4Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} \leq hQ_t(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda})^{1/2}. \tag{123}$$

There exists a family of functions $R_{m,\lambda,h,t}$ belonging to $S(4Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$ satisfying,

$$Y_{m\lambda}(t) = hOp_h^{weyl}(R_{m,\lambda,h,t}). \tag{124}$$

7.5 Proofs.

Proof of Theorem 7.1.
For $V \in H^2$, let $L_h(V)$ be the operator introduced above Definition 1.6 and set $\widetilde{L}_h(V) = L_h(V) \otimes I$. One denotes by H_{int} the operator defined in (100) and by $H_{int}^{free}(t)$ the corresponding free evolution operator defined in (16). One notes that,

$$H_{int}^{free}(t) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{3}(\beta_j + B_j^{free}(a_\lambda, t) \otimes \sigma_j^{[\lambda]}. \tag{125}$$

Differentiating (107), one observes that for any $f \in D(H(h))$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}U_h^{red}(t)f = -iH_{int}^{free}(t)U_h^{red}(t)f.$$

Similarly to [3], one deduces that, for any $V \in H^2$,

$$\mathrm{ad}\widetilde{L}_h(V)U_h^{red}(t) = i^{-1}\int_0^t U_h(t,s)[\widetilde{L}_h(V), H_{int}^{free}(s)]U_h(s,0)ds,$$

where we set $U_h(t,s) = U_h^{red}(t)(U_h^{red}(s))^\star$. Indeed, both hand sides are solutions to the same differential system, namely,

$$X'(t) = -iH_{int}^{free}(t)X(t) - i[\widetilde{L}_h(V), H_{int}^{free}(t)]U_h^{red}(t),$$

with the same initial data $X(0) = 0$. Iterating, one proves, for any $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ in H^2,

$$\mathrm{ad}\widetilde{L}_h(V_1)\ldots\mathrm{ad}\widetilde{L}_h(V_m)U_h^{red}(t) = (-i)^m \sum_{\varphi\in S_m}\int_{\Delta_m(t)} U(t,s_n)[\widetilde{L}_h(V_{\varphi(m)}), H_{int}^{free}(s_m)]\ldots \tag{126}$$

$$\ldots U(s_n,s_{m-1})[\widetilde{L}_h(V_{\varphi(m-1)}), H_{int}^{free}(s_{n-1})]\ldots U(s_2,s_1)[\widetilde{L}_h(V_{\varphi(1)}), H_{int}^{free}(s_1)]U(s_1,0)ds_1\ldots ds_m,$$

where S_m is the set of permutations φ of $\{1,\ldots,m\}$ and where, for $t > 0$,

$$\Delta_m(t) = \{(s_1,\ldots,s_m) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m < t\}.$$

In view of (104), (105) and (125), one has for any $V \in H^2$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|[\widetilde{L}_h(V), H_{int}^{free}(t)]\| \leq hN_t(V), \quad N_t(V) = \sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N}|V \cdot B_{j,a_\lambda,t}|, \tag{127}$$

where $B_{j,x,t}$ is defined in (105). Since $U(t,s)$ is unitary, from (126) and (127), one deduces

$$\|\mathrm{ad}\widetilde{L}_h(V_1)\dots\mathrm{ad}\widetilde{L}_h(V_m)U_h^{red}(t)\| \leq h^m \sum_{\varphi\in S_m}\int_{\Delta_m(t)} N_{s_1}(V_{\varphi(1)})\dots N_{s_m}(V_{\varphi(m)})ds_1\dots ds_m$$

$$= h^m\prod_{j=1}^m\int_0^t N_s(V_j)ds \leq h^m\prod_{j=1}^m Q_t(V_j)^{1/2}.$$

One then obtains the statement (108) of Theorem 7.1 and the second statement follows using Theorem 1.7.

□

Proof of Theorem 7.2.
The operator $I\otimes\sigma_j^{[\lambda]}$ commutes with $H_{ph}\otimes I$. Thus,

$$S_j^{[\lambda]}(t,h) = U_h^{red}(t)^\star(I\otimes\sigma_j^{[\lambda]})U_h^{red}(t).$$

The point (114) is then obtained from Theorem 7.1 and inequality (92). For the magnetic field, one has $B_j(x,t,h) = U_h^{red}(t)^\star(B_j^{free}(x,t)\otimes I)U_h^{red}(t)$, and consequently, since $U_h^{red}(t)$ is a unitary map,

$$B_j(x,t,h) - B_j^{free}(x,t)\otimes I = U_h^{red}(t))^\star\circ[B_j^{free}(x,t), U_h^{red}(t)].$$

With Definition 1.6 notations, one has $B_j^{free}(x,t) = L_h\mathcal{F}, B_{jxt}$ where B_{jxt} belonging to H^2 is written in (105) when identifying H^2 with $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the family $U_h^{red}(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$, with norm 1, the commutator $[B_j^{free}(x,t), U_h^{red}(t)]$ is in this space and

$$\|[B_j^{free}(x,t), U_h^{red}(t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} \leq hQ_t(\mathcal{F}B_{jxt})^{1/2}.$$

The statement (115) is obtained in view of estimate (92). For the electric field, the proof is the same. The other statements follow from Theorem 1.7.

□

Proof of Theorem 7.3.
From (120) one sees,

$$N'(t,h) = \frac{i}{h}e^{\frac{it}{h}H(h)}[H(h), N\otimes I]e^{-\frac{it}{h}H(h)}.$$

In the Fock spaces setting, $N = d\Gamma(I)$ and $H_{ph} = hd\Gamma(M)$. Since the operators I and M commutes, we have $[H_{ph}, N] = 0$. From (93) and (100),

$$N'(t,h) = ie^{\frac{it}{h}H(h)}[H_{int}, N\otimes I]e^{-\frac{it}{h}H(h)} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N\sum_{m=1}^3 X_{m\lambda}(t)\circ S_m^{[\lambda]}(t,h),$$

where $S_m^{[\lambda]}(t,h)$ is defined in (111) and with

$$X_{m\lambda}(t) = ie^{\frac{it}{h}H(h)}([B_m(x_\lambda), N]\otimes I)e^{-\frac{it}{h}H(h)}.$$

In view of (107),

$$X_{m\lambda}(t) = iU_h^{red}(t)^\star\left(e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}}[B_m(x_\lambda), N]e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}}\otimes I\right)U_h^{red}(t).$$

Using [24] (Theorem X.41) and [13] in the Fock space setting,

$$[B_m(x_\lambda), N] = iL_h B_{m,x_\lambda}.$$

From the metaplectic covariance result (56), one gets,

$$e^{i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}}(L_h B_{m,x_\lambda})e^{-i\frac{t}{h}H_{ph}} = L_h(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda}).$$

Consequently,

$$X_{m\lambda}(t) = -U_h^{red}(t)^\star(L_h(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda}) \otimes I)U_h^{red}(t).$$

Since $U_h^{red}(t)$ is a unitary map, one deduces

$$X_{m\lambda}(t) = -L_h(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda}) \otimes I + Y_{m\lambda}(t), \quad Y_{m\lambda}(t) = -U_h^{red}(t)^\star \circ [L_h(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda}) \otimes I,\ U_h^{red}(t)].$$

Knowing that $U_h^{red}(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$, with norm 1, the commutator in the above right hand side is the same class and one sees that,

$$\|[L_h(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda}) \otimes I,\ U_h^{red}(t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}(Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} \leq hQ_t(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda})^{1/2}.$$

From (92), the family $Y_{m\lambda}(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(4Q_t, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))$ and

$$\|Y_{m\lambda}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(4Q_t,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{sp}))} \leq hQ_t(\chi_t B_{m,x_\lambda})^{1/2}.$$

The last statement in Theorem 7.3 comes from Theorem 1.7.

□

References

[1] L. Amour, L. Jager, J. Nourrigat, *On bounded Weyl pseudodifferential operators in Wiener spaces,* Journal of Functional Analysis 269 (2015), pp. 2747-2812.

[2] L. Amour, R. Lascar, J. Nourrigat, *Beals characterization of pseudodifferential operators in Wiener spaces*, Appl. Math. Res. Express (2016).

[3] L. Amour, R. Lascar, J. Nourrigat, *Weyl calculus in QED I. The unitary group,* preprint, arXiv:1510.05293, october 2015.

[4] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I. M. Sigal, *Quantum electrodynamics of confined nonrelativistic particles,* Adv. Math. 137 (1998), no. 2, 299-395.

[5] R. Beals, *Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications,* Duke Math. J. 44 (1977), no. 1, 45-57

[6] J.M. Bony, *Caractérisation des opd.* Séminaire EDP, X. Exposé n23, 17pp, (1996-1997).

[7] J.M. Bony, *Characterization of pseudo-differential operators,* Progress in non linear differential equations and their applications. Vol. 84. Birkhaüser, 21-34. (2013).

[8] J.M. Bony, J.Y. Chemin, *Espaces fonctionnels associés au calcul de Weyl-Hörmander,* Bull. Soc. Math. France. **122**, n1 77-118, (1994).

[9] L. Boutet de Monvel, P. Kree, *Pseudodifferential operators and Gevrey classes.* Ann. Institut Fourier 17, (1967), 295-323.

[10] L. Bruneau, J. Dereziński, *Bogoliubov Hamiltonians and one-parameter groups of Bogoliubov transformations.* J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), no. 2, 022101, 24 pp.

[11] A.P. Calderón, R. Vaillancourt, *A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators,* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A, **69**, (1972), 1185-1187.

[12] M. Combescure, D. Robert, *Coherent states and applications in mathematical physics.* Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2012. xiv+415 pp. ISBN: 978-94-007-0195-3

[13] J. Dereziński, C. Gérard, *Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory. Massive Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians.* Rev. Math. Phys. 11 (1999), no. 4, 383-450.

[14] I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969.

[15] L. Gross, *Abstract Wiener spaces*, Proc. 5th Berkeley Sym. Math. Stat. Prob, **2**, (1965), 31-42.

[16] F.Hiroshima, I. Sasaki, H. Spohn, A. Suzuki, *Enhanced Binding in Quantum Field Theory*, Kyushu University COE Lecture Note 38, 2012. arXiv:1203.1136.

[17] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators,* Volume III, Springer, 1985.

[18] L. Jager, *Stochastic extensions of symbols in Wiener spaces and heat operator*, arXiv:1607.02253, july 2016.

[19] S. Janson *Gaussian Hilbert spaces,* Cambridge Tracts in Maths, **129**, Cambridge Univ. Press (1997).

[20] H. H. Kuo, *Gaussian measures in Banach spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 463. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1975.

[21] B. Lascar, *Une classe d'opérateurs elliptiques du second ordre sur un espace de Hilbert,* J. Funct. Anal. **35** (1980), no. 3, 316-343.

[22] N. Lerner, *Metrics on the phase space and non-selfadjoint pseudo-differential operators,* Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications, 3. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.

[23] R. Ramer, *On nonlinear Transformations of Gaussian measures,* J. Funct. Analysis, 15 (1974), 166-187.

[24] M. Reed, B.Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics,* Academic Press, New York, London, 1978.

[25] D. Shale. *Linear symmetries of free boson fields.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 103, 149-167, 1962.

[26] B. Simon, *The $P(\varphi)_2$ Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory,* Princeton Series in Physics, Princeton University Press, 1974.

[27] M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators.* Princeton Mathematical Series, 34. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

[28] A. Unterberger, *Oscillateur harmonique et opérateurs pseudo-différentiels,* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29 (1979), no. 3, xi, 201-221.

[29] M. Zworski, *Semiclassical analysis,* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

laurent.amour@univ-reims.fr
LMR EA 4535 and FR CNRS 3399, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Moulin de la Housse, BP 1039, 51687 REIMS Cedex 2, France.

richard.lascar@imj-prg.fr
Institut Mathmatique de Jussieu UMR CNRS 7586, Analyse Algébrique, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris. Boite 247.

jean.nourrigat@univ-reims.fr
LMR EA 4535 and FR CNRS 3399, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Moulin de la Housse, BP 1039, 51687 REIMS Cedex 2, France.